1 2 3 4 5	KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP Stacey M. Kaplan (Bar No. 241989) One Sansome Street, Suite 1850 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 400-3000 Facsimile: (415) 400-3001	REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED
6	-and-	
7	Sean M. Handler (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Ryan T. Degnan (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP Jeffrey J. Angelovich (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
8	280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087	Brad E. Seidel (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Andrew G. Pate (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
9 10	Telephone: (610) 667-7706 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056	Chad E. Ihrig (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) 3600 N. Capital of Texas Highway Building B, Suite 350
11	Interim Co-Class Counsel	Austin, TX 78746 Telephone: (512) 328-5333
12		Facsimile: (512) 328-5335
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14		
15 16	RICK WOODS, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	f Case No. 5:11-cv-1263-EJD
17	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS FOR VIOLATING
18	V.	COURT ORDER
19	GOOGLE INC.,	Hearing Date: July 22, 2014 Time: 10:00 a.m.
20	Defendant.	Before: Honorable Howard R. Lloyd
21		
22	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Proc	reduce 37 Plaintiff Rick Woods hereby moves for
23	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37, Plaintiff Rick Woods hereby moves for	
24	sanctions against Defendant Google, Inc. for violating this Court's Interim Order on DDJR#1	
25	and DDJR#2, Dkt. No. 147 (the "Order"). This Motion is set for hearing at 10:00 a.m., July 22,	
26	On March 28, 2014, the Court entered the Order concerning several ongoing discovery	
27	disputes between the parties. In disregard of	the terms and spirit of the Order, Google failed to
28		ing items required by the Order: (1) "descriptions

28

of the log files and database tables;" and (2) "data from log files relating to the clicks (and related impressions) alleged in ¶ 120 of the complaint." Order at 5. The Court should sanction Google for these violations and for Google's continuing disregard of its discovery obligations.

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Google's Unsupported Objections to Plaintiff's Requests for Documents Concerning Click Data

This dispute originated with Google's objections to Plaintiff's requests for production of data concerning the "clicks" at issue in this case. Plaintiff alleges Google overcharged him and the putative class for failing to "Smart Price" certain clicks on the Display Network. Plaintiff also alleges Google improperly charged him for clicks it failed to "Location Target" because the clicks came from users outside the geographic areas in which Plaintiff specified his ads should only appear. To support his allegations, Plaintiff requested data from Google concerning such clicks to determine, inter alia, which clicks were not properly Smart Priced and/or Location Targeted, the costs of those clicks, the amount of the harm, and the information needed to establish class-wide liability and harm. See Pate Decl., Exhibit A at Requests 3 and 9; Exhibit B at Requests 7, 12, 22–24, and 37. Google objected, claiming, inter alia, the requests would create an undue burden. See Pate Decl., Exhibit A at Objections to Requests 3 and 9; Exhibit B at Objections to Requests 7, 12, 22–24, and 37. As detailed below, in contravention of the open discovery system provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, since Plaintiff served his initial requests in this case, Google has relentlessly guarded both the nature and contents of the substantial click data it possesses.

B. Google Did Not Confer in Good Faith and Attempted to Deceive Plaintiff About Its data, Necessitating Discovery Dispute Joint Report #1

Initially, Google claimed it would meet and confer with Plaintiff "regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from [its] database." *See id.* Based on this representation, Plaintiff assumed (incorrectly) that Google intended to confer in good faith to resolve Google's

objections and narrow the data to be produced. Following agreement on an ESI Protocol for this case, Plaintiff repeatedly requested through emails, letters, and conference calls that Google describe the data it possesses (including lists of the fields), as Plaintiff had no way to meaningfully discuss narrowing a data request without information about the data Google has in its possession. *See*, *e.g.*, Pate Decl., Exhibit C at 1; Exhibit D at 2; Exhibit E at 4; Exhibit F at 7; Exhibit G at 1-2; Exhibit H at 1; Exhibit I at 1-2; Exhibit J at 1; Exhibit K at 2. In response, Google simply delayed, representing it would—at some future point—discuss further and ultimately provided only sample reports with incomplete or broken data.

After several months of Google repeatedly claiming it would provide descriptions of its data, Google identified two areas in which it stores data ("log files" and "database tables"). Specifically, Google's counsel provided two letters on June 28 and July 10, 2013, purportedly listing all "[t]he fields that may contain relevant data and general descriptions" for Smart Pricing and Location Targeting database tables. Pate Decl., Exhibits L-M. However, Google did not provide any data for the fields it had identified in the letters. Furthermore, Google did not provide *any* information about what data is available in the log files. Finally, Google's counsel stated: "Google is unaware of additional reports that it could run regarding Mr. Woods'... transactions that are not available to Mr. Woods." *Id.* As shown below, this statement was, and is, patently false.

As set forth in Discovery Dispute Joint Report No. 1, Google's document production confirmed the massive amount of relevant click data Google was hiding from Plaintiff in a concentrated effort to avoid revealing the severity of the harm Google caused to Plaintiff and the putative class. *See* DDJR #1 at 5-6, Dkt. 149. For example, two critical issues in this case are: (1) whether Google applied Smart Pricing to particular Display Network properties; and (2) whether Google distributed ads outside advertisers' targeted geographic areas. Significantly, Plaintiff has discovered a secret field tracked in Google's databases that allows Google to turn

Stipulated Protective Order. See Stipulated Protective Order, Dkt. 103, at ¶2.9. Though skeptical that a description of data could constitute "Source Code" (or comprise 900 documents), Plaintiff's counsel nonetheless traveled halfway across the country to inspect the material on April 28, 2014 at the offices of Google's counsel in Palo Alto, California. Google had sent Plaintiff's counsel on a wild goose chase. Not only did the material inspected not constitute Source Code, 2 it was *not what Google was ordered to provide*. 3 Eighteen months after the onset of discovery, Google has yet to produce the relevant click data.

II. **ARGUMENT & AUTHORITIES**

Google Violated the Order Concerning Discovery Dispute Joint Report No. 1

Plaintiff expected that an order from this Court would be enough to convince Google to conduct discovery in good faith. Unfortunately, Google violated both parts of the Court's March 28 Order.

First, Google did not produce "data from log files relating to the clicks (and related impressions) alleged in ¶ 120 of the complaint." Order at 5. Instead of the data from the log files, which Google has repeatedly described as "extremely voluminous—on the order of petabytes" (see, e.g., Pate Decl., Exhibit O at 2)—Google provided a 3 x 7 inch table (including column headings) comprising less than 5 ¼ square inches of a sheet of paper, shown below:

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

In accordance with the Order, Plaintiff's Motion does not request a ruling regarding the propriety of the "Source Code" designation, as he is separately "challeng[ing] the designation according to the procedures prescribed by the Stipulated Protective Order and the [Court's] standing Order." *See* Order at 6.

³ Additionally, during the inspection, Plaintiff's counsel determined that all 900 documents (converted .pdfs) had one of two creation and/or modification dates in June and July of 2013, which indicates Google's counsel sat on this material for almost a year amidst this ongoing discovery dispute. If Google truly believed these documents were the necessary descriptions that would have resolved the dispute, then it should have produced them a year ago.

Date	Time	IP Address
3/6/2011	09:58:44 -0700	75.109.44.0
2/8/2011	14:17:24 -0700	166.205.8.0
1/8/2011	02:14:49 -0700	66.197.142.0
12/21/2010	10:32:21 -0700	67.142.164.0
12/9/2010	05:07:20 -0700	66.197.142.0
9/12/2010	00:55:04 - 0700	97.24.178.0

See Pate Decl., Exhibit P. As shown, this table includes nothing more than the date, time, and IP address allegedly associated with the clicks alleged in ¶ 120 of the complaint. See id. Despite the "petabytes" in its possession, Google provided *three* data points for the six clicks. This does not comply with the Order.

Plaintiff inquired when he would receive the rest of the data Google was ordered to produce, but received no response. *See* Pate Decl., Exhibit Q at 1. In addition to being directly relevant to Plaintiff's Location Targeting allegations, this data would serve as an example of specific click data from Google's log files and, therefore, inform Plaintiff of the nature and content of those log files for purposes of narrowing any Smart Pricing data request. In other words, Google's compliance with the Order would have helped surmount several of the discovery hurdles that have plagued this case for over a year.

Second, Google has not provided *any* "descriptions of the log files" or the "fields of data contained in the log files." *See* Order at 5. Plaintiff recently deposed a Google engineer, Matthew Cary, who described these log files as "conceptually a list of events," tracking "[a]ll the event information, so impressions, clicks, all of the relevant data we use for processing that information." Pate Decl., Exhibit N at 208:25-209:22. Cary further explained "each event in the log is in a format known as a protocol buffer," and "we have in our code base a file that describes the information stored in the protocol buffers." *See id.* However, Plaintiff's counsel saw no such material during the inspection. Thus, Google is in violation of the Order.

Finally, any "descriptions" that did exist within the 900+ non-Source Code documents

appeared to relate solely to various database tables (not log files) and were provided in such a convoluted manner that obscured the relevant fields of data. Google has already demonstrated it has the ability to provide a list of fields in a reasonable manner (though their original effort omitted numerous fields). *See* Pate Decl., Exhibits L-M. Instead of complying with the Order in similar fashion and providing descriptions of its database tables, Google dumped 900+documents into a Source Code review filled with duplicative and irrelevant material for Plaintiff to sleuth the relevant nuances of Google's "petabytes" of data. This is hardly sufficient for Plaintiff to reasonably narrow his discovery requests, as Google has requested he must do for months. Such opaque descriptions—buried in 900+ files—do not comply with the letter or spirit of the Court's Order or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Despite this Court ordering Google to produce certain data to Plaintiff that would allow Plaintiff to narrow his discovery requests, Google continues to stonewall Plaintiff's discovery efforts. Without Google being forthcoming about the data it possesses, Plaintiff is unable to narrow his requests regarding such data and to move forward with the prosecution of this case. Google should be sanctioned for its willful and continuing violation of the Court's March 28, 2014 Order.

B. Google Should Be Sanctioned for Violating the Order

Google's shell games with its data and discovery obligations must stop. The Court has broad latitude under its inherent powers to issue such orders as are necessary to protect the integrity of the Court's Order and the judicial process. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(A) ("If a party ... fails to obey an order to provide or permit discovery ... the court where the action is pending may issue further just orders."). The Court should invoke that power here.

"[A] party's failure to produce documents as ordered is considered sufficient prejudice to establish sanctionable conduct." *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.*, No. 11-cv-1846, 2012 WL 1413385, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 23, 2012) (citing *Computer Task Grp., Inc. v. Brotby*, 364

F.3d 1112, 1116 (9th Cir. 2004)). Moreover, while a showing of bad faith is not required for an imposition of sanctions, "[i]f counsel or a party acts willfully, in bad faith, or is at fault in failing to comply with court orders, the Ninth Circuit has *encouraged* the exercise of the court's discretion to impose sanctions." *Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.*, No. 11-cv-1846, 2012 WL 1595784, at *3 (N.D. Cal. May 4, 2012) (quoting *Fjelstad v. Am. Honda Motor Co.*, 762 F.2d 1334, 1341 (9th Cir. 1985)) (emphasis added). "Disobedient conduct not shown to be outside the litigant's control meets [the] standard [for showing bad faith]." *Fair Hous. of Marin v. Combs*, 285 F.3d 899, 905 (9th Cir. 2002) (citing *Hyde & Drath v. Baker*, 24 F.3d 1162, 1167 (9th Cir. 1994)).

To date, Google has failed to produce the click data in the face of the Court's order, despite knowing that such information is directly relevant here. In addition, Google has not only affirmatively mischaracterized the scope of available, relevant data but has also obfuscated the descriptions of this data and inexcusably delayed production of this information. At best, Google's conduct demonstrates inappropriate gamesmanship. At worst, it is a bad faith violation of the rules of discovery and this Court's Order. Irrespective, sanctions for Google's disobedient conduct are appropriate. *See, e.g., Anheuser-Busch, Inc. v. Natural Beverage Distrib.*, 69 F.3d 337, 351 (9th Cir. 1995) (discovery sanctions warranted by, *inter alia*, party's failure to produce documents that it knew were relevant to the litigation and encompassed by discovery requests); *Guifu Li v. A Perfect Day Franchise, Inc.*, 281 F.R.D. 373, 395 (N.D. Cal. 2012) (imposing sanctions for party's "dilatory discovery tactics" in withholding relevant documents).

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b)(2)(A) authorizes sanctions ranging in severity from monetary to case-dispositive preclusion or terminating sanctions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(A). "The selection of the particular sanction is left to the discretion of the trial court." *Apple Inc.*, 2012 WL 1595784, at *2. The only limitations applicable to sanctions imposed pursuant to Rule 37(b)(2) are that the sanctions be "just" and be "specifically related to the

particular 'claim' which was at issue in the order to provide discovery." *Ins. Corp. of Ireland, Ltd. v. Compagnie des Bauxites de Guinee*, 456 U.S. 694, 707 (1982). Here, Plaintiff's requested sanctions are both "just" and tailored to Google's noncompliance. *See, e.g., Grimes v. City & Cnty. of San Francisco*, 951 F.2d 236, 241 (9th Cir. 1991) ("discovery sanctions may be imposed to compel compliance with an order"); *see also B.K.B. v. Maui Police Dep't*, 276 F.3d 1091, 1108 (9th Cir. 2002) (primary aspect of the court's discretion with respect to imposition of sanctions "is the ability to fashion an appropriate sanction for conduct which abuses the judicial process").

Further, an award of fees incurred as a result of a party's willful misconduct is supported by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(b)(2)(C), which states that in addition to the other sanctions provided for by the Rule:

the court must order the disobedient party, the attorney advising that party, or both to pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, caused by the failure, unless the failure was substantially justified or other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(C); see also Matrix Motor Co. Inc. v. Toyota Motor Sales, USA, Inc., No. 03-cv-601, 2003 WL 22466218, at *1 (C.D. Cal. May 8, 2003) ("[E]xcept in limited circumstances, Rule 37(b)(2) requires the court to order the noncompliant party or its attorneys, or both, to pay any reasonable fees that the opposing party incurs resulting from the disobedient party's noncompliance "); see also Green v. Baca, 225 F.R.D. 612, 614-15 (C.D. Cal. 2005) (awarding fees incurred in making discovery motion necessitated by party's failure to provide relevant information and/or documents); Guifu Li, 281 F.R.D. at 395 (awarding attorneys' fees incurred for motions to compel).

III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court enter an order requiring Google to:

1. Pay all reasonable and necessary attorney's fees for:

1 2	KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP Stacey M. Kaplan One Sansome Street, Suite 1850
3	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 400-3000 Facsimile: (415) 400-3001
4	-and-
5	Sean M. Handler
6 7	Ryan T. Degnan 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087
8	Telephone: (610) 667-7706 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056
9	Interim Co-Class Counsel
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	CEDITIVE A TEL OF CEDIVICE
16	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
17	I hereby certify that on May 14, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk
18	of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail
19	addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List.
20	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
21	foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 14, 2014.
22	
23	/s/ Andrew G. Pate
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	11
	,

Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's

27

28

4.

Second Request for Production of Documents and Defendant's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents.

- 5. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit C is, with the exception of the highlighting which was added by Interim Co-Class Counsel, a true and correct copy of an email, on which I was copied, sent by Brad Seidel, Plaintiff's counsel in this matter, to Eric Evans, Google's counsel in this matter, on February 11, 2013.
- 6. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit D is, with the exception of the highlighting which was added by Interim Co-Class Counsel, a true and correct copy of a letter, on which I was copied, sent by Brad Seidel, Plaintiff's counsel in this matter, to Eric Evans, Google's counsel in this matter, on February 11, 2013.
- 7. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit E is, with the exception of the highlighting which was added by Interim Co-Class Counsel, a true and correct copy of a letter sent by Brad Seidel, to Eric Evans on February 14, 2013.
- 8. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit F is, with the exception of the highlighting which was added by Interim Co-Class Counsel, a true and correct copy of a letter sent by Brad Seidel to Eric Evans on March 3, 2013.
- 9. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit G is, with the exception of the highlighting which was added by Interim Co-Class Counsel, a true and correct copy of an email, on which I was copied, sent by Brad Seidel to Eric Evans on April 16, 2013.
- 10. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit H is, with the exception of the highlighting which was added by Interim Co-Class Counsel, a true and correct copy of an email, on which I was copied, sent by Brad Seidel to Eric Evans on May 7, 2013.
- 11. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit I is, with the exception of the highlighting which was added by Interim Co-Class Counsel, a true and correct copy of a letter I sent to Eric Evans on June 6, 2013.
- 12. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit J is, with the exception of the highlighting which was added by Interim Co-Class Counsel, a true and correct copy of a letter I sent to Eric

1		
2 3	Dated: May 14, 2014	NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP By: /s/ Andrew G. Pate Andrew G. Pate
4		Interim Co-Class Counsel
5		The thire of class counsel
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		4
		PATE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF

EXHIBIT A

1	KESSLER TOPAZ		
2	MELTZER & CHECK, LLP Ramzi Abadou (Bar No. 222567)		
3	Stacey M. Kaplan (Bar No. 241989) Erik D. Peterson (Bar No. 257098)		
4	580 California Street, Suite 1750 San Francisco, CA 94104		
5	Telephone: (415) 400-3000 Facsimile: (415) 400-3001		
6	-and-		
7	Joseph H. Meltzer (Pro Hac Vice)		NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP
8	Sean M. Handler (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Peter H. LeVan, Jr. (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)		Jeffrey J. Angelovich (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Brad E. Seidel (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
9	Naumon A. Amjed (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Ryan T. Degnan (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)		Andrew G. Pate (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) 3600 N. Capital of Texas Highway
10	280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087		Building B, Suite 350 Austin, TX 78746
11	Telephone: (610) 667-7706 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056		Telephone: (512) 328-5333 Facsimile: (512) 328-5335
12	Interim Co-Class Counsel		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
17	RICK WOODS, Individually and On Beh of All Others Similarly Situated,	alf	Case No. 11-cv-1263-EJD
18	Plaintiff,		PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR
19	V.		PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
20	GOOGLE INC.,		
21	Defendant.		
22			
23	PROPOUNDING PARTY:	PLA	INTIFF RICK WOODS
24	RESPONDING PARTY:	GOO	OGLE INC.
25	SET NO.:	ONI	E(1)
26			
27			
28	DI AINTIEE'S EIDST DEOLIEST EOD		
	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS CASE NO. 11-CV-1263-EJD		
'	ı		

Pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the United States District Court of the Northern District of California, Plaintiff Rick Woods hereby requests that Google Inc. produce all original documents responsive to these requests, including all non-identical copies, within thirty days of service hereof, at the Austin, Texas office of Nix, Patterson & Roach, LLP, or at such other location as is mutually agreed upon by counsel.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 34(b)(1)(C), the Responding Party shall produce all responsive electronically stored information ("ESI") in the format set forth in Appendix A. Pursuant to Federal Rule 34(b)(2)(E)(i), Defendant shall produce all responsive documents and ESI as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must label all responsive documents and ESI to correspond to the categories in the request. Plaintiff requests that Defendant produce all responsive documents in accordance with the production format requirements contained in Appendix A.

DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise indicated, as used in these Requests, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to each:

- 1. "Ads" means advertisements submitted to Google by AdWords Advertisers.
- 2. "AdWords Advertisers" means persons who advertise or advertised through the AdWords Program during the Relevant Period.
- 3. "AdWords Program" or "AdWords" means Defendant's AdWords online advertising program as defined in Exhibit A to the Complaint and any other similar or related online advertising program of Defendant.
- 4. "AdWords Website" means the Internet website provided by Defendant to AdWords Advertisers to administer their online AdWords advertising, including Location Targeting settings related to the display of their Ads.
 - 5. "Any" or "all" mean each and every.

6. "Click Data" means the complete uniform resource locator (URL) of the originating website of the click, the actual price the AdWords Advertiser paid for the click, the geographic origin of the click, the Location Targeting setting in effect for the AdWords user at the time of the click, and any other data Defendant maintains or tracks concerning the click.

- 7. "Communications" means any exchange of information by any means of transmission, including, but not limited to, transcriptions, memoranda, intra-office communications, notes of face-to-face conversations, mail, electronic messages, telegrams, overnight deliveries, transcripts or notes of telephone, facsimiles, or telexes.
- 8. The "Complaint" means the Second Amended Class Action Complaint filed by Plaintiff in this action on September 21, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division.
- 9. "Describe" means provide a complete explanation, including identification of any and all documents on which your description depends.
- 10. "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a)(1)(A) and shall include every writing or record of every type and description in any form whatsoever and all other tangible objects. The term Document includes writings, drawings, graphs, charts, spreadsheets, photographs, communications, video and sound recordings, images, and other data or data compilations (electronic or otherwise) from which information can be obtained either directly or, if necessary, after translation by the producing party into a reasonably usable form. The term Document also includes electronically stored information ("ESI") and transmission of ESI through Electronic Media. For illustrative purposes, examples of documents include each of the following: memorandum, file, communication, correspondence, study, report, working paper, record, recording, minutes, instruction, literature, notes, notebook, diary, calendar, data sheet, work sheet, summary, tabulation, calculation, index, picture, graphic matter, agreement, contract, arrangement, understanding, invoice, bill, web page, software, and algorithm. A draft or non-

identical copy or version is a separate document within the meaning of this term. A document shall be produced with any and all of the document's associated metadata.

- 11. "Electronic Media" means any magnetic, flash or other storage medium or media device used to record and/or store ESI. Electronic Media includes, but is not limited to, portable media, hard disks, floppy disks, hard drives, jump drives, thumb drives, memory sticks, flash media, CDs, DVDs, zip drives, personal digital assistance devices and handheld storage devices (e.g., Palm, Blackberry, iPhone or other "smart phones"), magnetic tapes of all types, intranet and Internet repositories of all types including web-sites, cloud or web storage, software code repositories (including code vaults or other source code storage systems), and any other vehicle for digital data storage, back-up and/or transmittal. Electronic media also includes, without limitation, memory and information storage systems associated with servers, computers, handheld storage devices, iPads, tablet computers, email systems (including those hosted by ISPs), voicemail systems, instant messaging systems, social networking systems, and fax servers.
- 12. "Electronic messages" means electronic mail, text messages, instant messages, internet messages, intranet messages, electronic bulletin board messages, blog entries, website postings of any nature, and all other methods by which messages may be transmitted by or through electronic sources.
- 13. "Google," "Defendant," the "Company," "You," or "Your" means Google Inc., and any subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, and present and former divisions and affiliates; and each of their present or former agents, employees, directors, officers, or anyone acting or purporting to act on their behalf or under their control.
- 14. "Identify" and "Identity," when used in reference to a person, mean to give the person's full name, present or last known address, present or last known email address(es), present or last known phone number and, when referring to a natural person, the present or last known employer or business affiliation.

- 15. "Identify" and "Identity," when used in reference to a document, mean to give the document's author(s), recipient(s), title, date, type, general subject matter, current location or custodian and, if produced, bates number.
- 16. "Identify" and "Identity," when used in reference to a communication, mean to give the full name, last known address, last known phone number, employer or business affiliation of the parties to the communication, the form of the communication, the date of the communication, the subject of the communication, and the manner(s) in which the communication was/is recorded or memorialized.
- 17. "Including" means "including without limitation" or "including, but not limited to."
- 18. "Location Targeting" means the functionality provided by Google for targeting Ads to locations as described by Google in Exhibit O to the Complaint and/or any such functionality provided by Google for the placement of Ads based on an AdWords Advertiser's selected geographic location.
- 19. "Location Targeting Settings Screen" means the webpage or screen on the AdWords Website on which an AdWords Advertiser may set, edit, or modify its Location Targeting settings.
- 20. "Or" or "And" should be construed as disjunctive and conjunctive to bring within the scope of these requests all documents which would otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.
- 21. "Person(s)" means any natural person or any business, legal or governmental entity, or association and all of their officials, directors, officers, employees, representatives, attorneys, agents, and any other person acting on their behalf.
 - 22. "Plaintiff" means Plaintiff Rick Woods.
- 23. "Relating to," "related to," "relate(s) to," "concerning," and "concern" mean all things or documents that in any way discuss, constitute, concern, refer to, are connected with,

arise from, reflect, assess, record, summarize, evaluate, or comment on the subject or object of the discovery request.

- 24. The present tense of any verb includes the simple past, past perfect, simple future, and future perfect tenses. For example, "use" includes "used," "will use," "had used," and "will have used."
- 25. The use of any word includes the plural and vice versa and the use of the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neutral genders and vice versa.
- 26. Unless a word or term has been given a specific definition herein, each word or term used herein shall be given its usual and customary dictionary definition, except where such words have a specific custom and usage definition in your trade or industry, in which case they shall be interpreted in accordance with such usual custom and usage definition of which you are aware.

<u>INSTRUCTIONS</u>

The following instructions are an integral part of these requests and apply to each of them, as well as to any other discovery requests incorporating these instructions.

- 1. Your response to each Request for Production shall include all documents or things within Your custody, possession, or control or in the custody, possession, or control of Your directors, officers, partners, members, agents, employees, subsidiaries, managing agents, affiliates, investigators, representatives, or Your attorneys or their agents, employees, assignees, representatives, or investigators.
- 2. If these Requests for Production cannot be responded to in full, respond to the extent possible, specify the reasons for your inability to produce the remainder, and state whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the unproduced portion.
- 3. Documents shall be produced as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or organized and labeled to correspond to the categories of the particular document request for those documents that were created, and if they were at any time stored digitally, then those

documents should be delivered as set forth in Appendix A attached hereto. For those documents that have been created digitally but executed with a hand-written signature or seal, then both the digital version and the signed paper copy should be produced.

- 4. Any document in its native electronic format should include any and all of the document's associated meta-data, embedded attachments, hyperlinks, and any and all other files or digital objects or links, associated to that document.
- 5. You should produce the original of each document described below, or if the original is unavailable, then a copy thereof, and in any event, all non-identical copies which differ from the original or from the other copies produced for any reason, including, but not limited to, the making of notes thereon.
- 6. All documents shall be produced in the file folder, envelope or other container in which the documents are kept or maintained. If, for any reason, the container cannot be produced, produce copies of all labels or other identifying marks.
 - 7. Documents attached to each other should not be separated.
- 8. Documents not otherwise responsive to these document requests shall be produced if such documents mention, discuss, refer to, or explain the documents which are called for by this discovery request, or if such documents are attached to documents called for by this discovery request and constitute routing slips, transmittal memoranda, letters, comments, evaluations, or similar material.
- 9. These Requests for Production are continuing within the meaning of FRCP 26. As a result, in the event that you locate additional responsive documents after furnishing your responses to these Requests for Production, you are required to supplement your responses to these Requests for Production.
- 10. If any document or a portion of any document is withheld from production on the ground that its production is privileged due to attorney-client privilege, work-product rule, or other legally recognized standard preventing its disclosure to a requesting party, that portion of

the document need not be produced, but You shall state separately for each such document: (1) the legal and factual basis on which you claim protection against production; (2) the date of creation of the documents; (3) the nature of the document (e.g., letter, memorandum); (4) the full name, title, and employer of each author of each such document; (5) the full name, title, and employer of each addressee and named recipient of the document; (6) the full name, title, and employer of each person who to your knowledge has seen the document; and (7) the general substance of the document.

- 11. Whenever a document is not produced in full or is produced in redacted form, so indicate on the document and state with particularity the reason or reasons it is not being produced in full.
- 12. If a document responsive to these requests was at any time in Defendant's possession, custody or control but now is no longer available for production, identify such document or thing as completely as possible, including the following information: (1) an explanation of the type of document or thing it was; (2) whether the document is missing or lost; (3) whether it has been destroyed; (4) whether the document has been transferred or delivered to another person or entity and, if so, at whose request and who was it transferred to; (5) whether the document has been otherwise disposed of; (6) a precise statement of the circumstances surrounding the disposition of the document and the date of the document's disposition, including when and why it was disposed of, discarded, or destroyed; (7) the identities of all persons who know or knew of its existence and contents; and (8) the identity of the person who lost, discarded, or destroyed it.
- 13. If you object to any of the definitions or instructions applicable to these requests, state your objection in your response and clearly indicate whether you are complying with the definition or instruction notwithstanding your objection.
- 14. If you object to answering any of these Requests for Production, or withhold documents from production in response to any request, in whole or in part, state (1) your

 $28 \parallel \frac{}{\text{PI}}$

objections and/or reasons for not responding, (2) state all factual and legal justifications that you believe support your objection or failure to answer or produce, and (3) whether you are complying with the request notwithstanding your objection.

- 15. If you object to answering only part of a Request for Production, specify the part to which you object and respond to the remainder.
- 16. If you object to any request on the ground of overbreadth, you are instructed to respond to the request as narrowed to conform to your objection.
- 17. In no event should any response be left blank. If the response to any request is, for example, "none" or "not applicable," such statement should be written as a response.
- 18. If you encounter any ambiguity in construing a request, or the definition or instruction relevant to the request, set forth the matter deemed "ambiguous" and set forth the construction chosen or used in responding.
- 19. If you do not possess one or more of the requested documents, you should so state and describe all efforts made by you to search for the documents necessary to respond to the request.
- 20. Without limiting the definitions of "document" and "communication" contained in these requests, you are specifically instructed to search all document management systems, computer archives, and backup tapes, disks and drives for documents responsive to the following requests, and production of such documents should be made regardless of whether such documents exist in tangible or "hard" copy form. Production is also sought regardless of whether the user purported to "delete" the document or communication, if such document or communication is capable of being retrieved from archival or backup sources.

THE RELEVANT PERIOD

The "Relevant Period" shall be from April 1, 2004 to the date of response. Unless otherwise indicated, each request contained herein shall be read to include all documents and information which relate in whole or in part to the Relevant Period, or to events or circumstances

hereto) and the subject matter of Exhibit 1, including, without limitation, all "requests" discussed

27

28

- 1				
1	1 in Exhibit 1.			
2	2 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7: All Documents concer	rning refunds demanded by		
3	3 AdWords Advertisers related to Location Targeting.			
4	4 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8: Documents identifying	g all AdWords Advertisers		
5	5 who used the Location Targeting feature (including the identification)	n of the location(s) selected)		
6	6 but received one or more clicks originating from a geographic 1	ocation outside the selected		
7	7 Location Targeting area.			
8	8 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9: All Documents, inclu	ding Click Data, Location		
9	9 Targeting settings, and billing statements, for all AdWords Advert	isers who used the Location		
10	Targeting feature.			
11	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10: All Documents concern	ing the fees, revenues and/or		
12	other amounts charged and earned by Google for clicks originating	from users located outside of		
13	the geographic locations specified by AdWords Advertisers.			
14	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11: All Documents and/o	or correspondence between		
15	Google and AdWords Advertisers concerning Location Targeting or	billing for clicks outside the		
16	selected Location Targeting area.	selected Location Targeting area.		
17	17			
18	DATED: October 5, 2012 Respectfully submitted,	,		
19	19 /s/ Andrew G. Pate	DOLCH LLD		
20	NIX, PATTERSON & Jeffrey J. Angelovich	ROACH, LLP		
21	Brad E. Seidel Andrew G. Pate			
22	2 (0.0) \ (0.0) \ (0.0)	as Highway		
23	23 Austin, TX 78746	222		
24	Telephone: (512) 328-5 Facsimile: (512) 328-53			
25	KESSLER TOPAZ			
26	MELTZER & CHECK Ramzi Abadou	K, LLP		
27				

Stacey M. Kaplan 1 Erik D. Peterson 2 580 California Street, Suite 1750 San Francisco, CA 94104 3 Telephone: (415) 400-3000 Facsimile: (415) 400-3001 4 5 -and-6 Joseph H. Meltzer Sean M. Handler 7 Peter H. LeVan, Jr. Naumon A. Amjed 8 Ryan T. Degnan 9 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087 10 Telephone: (610) 667-7706 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056 11 Interim Co-Class Counsel 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS CASE NO. 11-CV-1263-EJD

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3	
4	I hereby certify that on October 5, 2012, a true and correct copy of the foregoing
5	document was served via electronic mail and first class mail upon all counsel of record.
6	/s/ Andrew G. Pate
7	15/ 1marew G.1 acc
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	DI AINTIEE'S EIDST DEOLIEST EOD

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS CASE NO. 11-CV-1263-EJD

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MAYER BROWN LLP Edward D. Johnson (SBN 189475) wjohnson@mayerbrown.com Donald M. Falk (SBN 150256) dfalk@mayerbrown.com Eric B. Evans (SBN 232476) eevans@mayerbrown.com Jonathan A. Helfgott (SBN 278969) jhelfgott@mayerbrown.com Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 Telephone: (650) 331-2000 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060	
8	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.	
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
12	RICKS WOODS, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Case No. 11-cv-1263-EJD
13	Plaintiff,	GOOGLE INC.'S SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED OBJECTIONS AND
14	V.	RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR
15	,.	PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
16	GOOGLE INC.,	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34, Defendant Google Inc	
20	("Google"), by and through its counsel of record	, hereby objects and responds to Plaintiffs' First
21	Set of Requests for Production of Documents ("Requests") as follows.	
22	GENERAL OBJECTIONS	
23	1. Google objects to the Instruction	s, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that
24	they seek to impose on Google any obligations of	exceeding or differing from the requirements of
25	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local	Rules and any orders of the presiding Court, or
26	other applicable law. Google will comply wit	h its obligations under the Rules and the law,

27

which Plaintiffs have no authority to increase or alter.

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

2. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek information that was prepared in anticipation of litigation, constitute attorney work product, disclose mental impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal theories of any attorney for or other representative of Google, contain privileged attorney-client communications, or are otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google shall not produce such material in response to Plaintiffs' Requests. Any disclosure of such protected or privileged information is inadvertent and shall not be construed as a waiver of any applicable privileges or protections. Google reserves the right to correct the record with regard to any such inadvertent disclosure. Communications between and among Google's in-house counsel and outside attorneys, and between Google and its counsel, related to the instant litigation or any government investigation, are clearly subject to attorney-client privilege and/or the work product doctrine and not responsive to discovery regarding the claims at issue, and therefore will not be disclosed on any privilege log. Documents for which privileged information has been redacted but an unredacted email header sufficiently identifies the subject matter of the material withheld and the basis for the privilege need not be logged. In such cases, the unredacted email header does not operate as a waiver of any privilege or immunity that might attach to that document.

Amendment of General Objection 2:

To the extent that Google withholds documents it understands to be responsive to a particular request on the basis of an objection stated in General Objection 2, it will do so based only on its specific objections and responses to that request. Further, Google will include information regarding privileged information that has been redacted in its privilege logs.

3. Google further objects to these Requests to the extent they seek information regarding Google's activities or employees located outside of the United States as overbroad, unduly burdensome and outside the scope of information reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

- 4. Google further objects to the extent the Requests seek a complete and exhaustive response or explanation regarding any issue in this litigation, before discovery has been completed. Any responses Google makes to any proper discovery requests by Plaintiffs will remain at all times subject to additional or different information that discovery or further investigation, analysis, or recollection may disclose. Accordingly, Google will at all times reserve the right to amend or supplement its objections and responses.
- 5. Google reserves the right to make any use of, or to introduce at any hearing or trial, information that is responsive to Plaintiffs' requests, but reviewed, discovered, or discovered to be relevant subsequent to Google's responses herein.
- 6. Google reserves the right to object on any ground at any time to such other or supplemental discovery requests as Plaintiffs may propound involving or relating to the same subject matter of these Requests.
- 7. The responses below shall not be construed as an admission as to the relevance or admissibility of any statement or characterization contained in any request. Google reserves all objections, including without limitation objections as to competency, relevance, materiality, privilege, authenticity, or admissibility.
- 8. The responses below shall not be construed as an admission of or agreement with any implication, statement, characterization or conclusions implied or stated in any instruction, definition, or request.
- 9. The term "will produce" as used in these responses means that, subject to the general and specific objections set forth herein, Google will produce documents of the types or categories described to the extent that such documents exist and are within Google's possession, custody and/or control. Google's statement that it "will produce" documents, or other response to the Requests below, should not be construed as a statement or concession that responsive documents in fact exist.
- 10. To the extent that pleadings and/or papers filed or served in this action are responsive to these Requests and without waiving the right to rely on any such documents for

any purposes in this action, Google will not separately produce pleadings and/or papers filed or served in this action in response to discovery requests.

- 11. Google reserves all of its rights under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and any other applicable law or court rule. Google further reserves the right to make future motions and objections relating to the Requests at any subsequent stage of this action, including, but not limited to, the right to object to the use of any responses, or the subject matter thereof, on any ground (including materiality and relevance) in any proceeding in any action. Google's responses are made based on its understanding and interpretation of each request. Google reserves the right to supplement or amend its objections and responses should Plaintiffs subsequently put forth an interpretation of any request that differs from that being used by Google for these responses.
- 12. Google's written responses and document productions will be made pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order that will be entered in this matter. Google's decision, now or in the future, to provide materials in response to the Requests is not and should not be construed as (a) a waiver of Google's general objections or the objections asserted in response to specific Requests, (b) a stipulation that the material is relevant, properly requested, admissible at trial, or that responsive documents exist, or (c) an agreement that requests for similar information will be treated in a similar manner.
- 13. Google has not completed (a) its investigation of the facts relating to this case, (b) its discovery in this action, or (c) its preparation for trial. Thus, the following responses are based upon the information known at this time and are given without prejudice to Google's right to supplement its responses with any subsequently discovered or inadvertently omitted information. These responses do not preclude Google from later relying on facts or documents discovered or generated pursuant to further investigation or discovery which may be conducted after the date of these responses.
- 14. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek documents constituting or containing confidential or proprietary information, trade

secrets, intellectual property, or commercially sensitive information. To the extent that any such documents may be produced, the production will occur only pursuant to the Protective Order entered in this matter and only to the extent Google can do so consistent with its legal, contractual and other confidentiality obligations.

- 15. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law to protect such confidential information. To the extent that any such documents may be produced, the production will occur only pursuant to the Protective Order entered in this matter and only to the extent Google can do so consistent with its legal and confidentiality obligations.
- 16. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they seek documents constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will provide such material in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations.
- 17. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek documents or information (1) not currently in Google's possession, custody, or control, or (2) that Google cannot locate after a reasonably diligent search. Google also objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they seek in any other way to subject Google to unreasonable and undue annoyance, oppression, burden, and expense; and/or seek to impose upon Google an obligation to investigate or discover information or materials from sources equally accessible to Plaintiffs.
- 18. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they seek information readily available through public sources or records, on the grounds that such Requests unreasonably subject Google to undue burden and expense.
- 19. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative and duplicative to the extent that they seek the production of "all" and

"any" documents of a specified type or nature. Google also objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests as overbroad, vague and ambiguous, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, to the extent that they seek information regarding "any" or "all" persons, entities, objects or events.

- 20. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they require Google to provide Plaintiffs with direct access to Google's computer systems or hardware.
- 21. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek to restrict the facts, witnesses, and evidence on which Google may rely on at trial. By responding and objecting to these Requests, Google does not intend to, and does not, limit the evidence on which it may rely to support its contentions and defenses at trial, or to rebut or impeach contentions, assertions, and evidence presented by Plaintiffs. Furthermore, Google reserves the right to supplement or amend its responses and objections.
 - 22. Google objects to each Request to the extent that it calls for a legal conclusion.
- 23. Google objects to the Definitions and Instructions to the extent they purport to enlarge, expand, or alter in any way the plain meaning and scope of any specific term or specific Request on the ground that such enlargement, expansion, or alteration renders the term or Request vague, ambiguous, unintelligible, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and/or uncertain.
- 24. Google objects to Definition #7, and to the term "Communications," on the grounds that the definition is overbroad, vague and ambiguous.
- 25. Google objects to Definition #10, and to the terms "Document," "copies" and "drafts" used in that definition on the grounds that the definition is overbroad, vague and ambiguous and to the extent it extends beyond the meaning of that term as used in Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Google reserves all rights to assert further objections to this definition and the scope of Plaintiffs' Requests.
- 26. Google objects to Definition #11 and to the term "Electronic Media," on the grounds that the definition is overbroad, vague and ambiguous and to the extent it extends

1	beyond the meaning of that term as used in Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
2	Google further objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they seek
3	electronically stored information that is not reasonably accessible by Google because of undue
4	burden or cost. Google will provide responsive ESI pursuant to the terms of a Stipulation
5	Regarding Production Format of Electronically Stored Information ("Format Stipulation") and
6	any additional agreements regarding the scope of preservation and collection of ESI that may be
7	agreed upon by the parties in this matter.
8	27. Google objects to Definitions #11 and 12 and to the terms "Electronic Media" and
9	"Electronic messages" used in those definitions to the extent that they purport to seek
10	electronically stored information from sources that are not reasonably accessible because of
11	undue burden or cost, including, without limitation:
12	(a) Voicemail messages;
13	(b) Instant messages;
14	(c) Random access memory;
15	(d) Floppy disks;
16	(e) Zip disks;
17	(f) Bernoulli disks;
18	(g) Magnetic tape;
19	(h) Video and sound recordings, including, without limitation, .wmv, .mpg, .mp3 and
20	.wav files;
21	(i) Information stored in unallocated space in file systems on magnetic media;
22	(j) Information from handsets, mobile devices, and tablets;
23	(k) Instant messaging communications;
24	(l) Information stored on third-party social networking platforms;
25	(m)Dynamic fields of databases or log files that are not retained in the usual course of
26	business;
27	

- (n) Drafts of documents and/or email messages generated by automated processes ("auto-saves");
- (o) Backup systems;
- (p) Legacy systems; and
- (q) Information created or copied during the routine, good-faith performance of processes for the deployment, maintenance, retirement, and disposition of computer equipment.

Amendment to General Objection No. 27:

To the extent that anything in this General Objection No. 27 conflicts with any provision of the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation, that Stipulated Order controls.

- 28. Google objects to Definition #10, and to the term "meeting," on the grounds that the definition is overbroad, vague and ambiguous.
- 29. Google objects to the Definition #13 and to the terms "subsidiary," "affiliate," and "joint venture" used in that definition on the ground that the definition is overbroad, vague and ambiguous and to the extent it seeks information that is not currently in the possession, custody, or control of Google and/or not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 30. Google objects to Definition #13, Instruction # 3, and to the terms "You" and "Your" used in that definition to the extent the definition improperly expands the scope of discovery by seeking information and documents that are not currently in the possession, custody, or control of Google. Google interprets "your company" to mean Google Inc., and responds on behalf of itself and no other person or entity. Google also objects to this definition to the extent it purports to include Google's outside counsel or any third parties, and to the extent the definition purports to include "agents," "employees," or "anyone acting or purporting to act on their behalf or under their control," because those terms are vague, ambiguous and overbroad. Google's responses are limited to current and former employees of Google, Inc.

- 31. Google objects to these Requests to the extent they seek information and documents without defining a relevant time period as overbroad as to time, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Google will limit its responses to the time period of April 1, 2004 to March 15, 2011.
- 32. Google objects to Instruction #1 and to these Requests to the extent they seek "all documents" related to particular subject matter to the extent that this renders them overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Google will interpret Requests seeking "all documents" or "any documents" as seeking those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense.
- 33. Google objects to Instructions #3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, and 20 and to Appendix A to the extent they purport to impose duties on Google exceeding or differing from the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules and any orders of the presiding Court, or other applicable law. Google further objects to Plaintiff's Instructions, Definitions, and Requests, including Appendix A, to the extent they seek electronically stored information that is not reasonably accessible by Google because of undue burden or cost. Google will provide responsive ESI pursuant to the terms of a Format Stipulation and any additional agreements regarding the scope of preservation and collection of ESI that may be agreed upon by the parties in this matter.
- 34. Google objects to Instruction #9 and the Plaintiff's description of the "Relevant Period" to the extent they are overbroad as to time, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Google will limit its responses to the time period of April 1, 2004 to March 15, 2011.
- 35. Google objects to Instructions #9 and 10 and Appendix A §§ 3.i and 3.j because they are unduly burdensome to the extent they purport to impose duties on Google that exceed the requirements set forth in Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; to the extent they request information beyond that necessary to establish Google's claim of privilege; and to the

extent they request information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine.

- 36. Google objects to Instruction #12 as overbroad and unduly burdensome, as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and as seeking to impose obligations beyond those established by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 37. Google further objects to these Requests on the grounds that they are overbroad, and seek information that is neither relevant to any party's claim or defense in this litigation, nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. To be discoverable, information must be "relevant to any party's claim or defense" and at least "reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).

The objections above are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth in each response below. To the extent that Google withholds documents it understands to be responsive to a particular request on the basis of an objection stated in any of these general objections, it will do so based only on its specific objections and responses to that request. Without waiving any of the foregoing objections, Google responds as follows:

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES

REQUEST NO. 1:

All Documents concerning Plaintiff, including without limitation all communications involving, relating to, or regarding Plaintiff.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that are sufficient to show Plaintiff's transactions and communications with Google relating to Location Targeting, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources.

REQUEST NO. 2:

All versions of all agreements governing AdWords Advertisers, including all versions of the AdWords Advertising Terms and Conditions, a copy of which is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit A.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro.

34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that are sufficient to show the terms of the Google AdWords Program Standard Terms and Conditions.

REQUEST NO. 3:

All Documents concerning Location Targeting, including, without limitation, the purpose of Location Targeting, the function of the Location Targeting feature, the source code concerning Location Targeting, default settings for Location Targeting, the data used by the Location Targeting feature, statements Google has published concerning Location Targeting, and Google's policies and practices for reviewing and/or verifying the geographic origin of clicks.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean

those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to a suitable protective order. Google further objects to this Request to the extent that the terms "purpose of Location Targeting," "function of the Location Targeting feature," "default settings for Location Targeting," "data used by the Location Targeting feature," and "Google's policies and practices for reviewing and/or verifying the geographic origin of clicks" are vague and ambiguous because they are subject to multiple interpretations. Google further objects to this Request to the extent that the term "geographic origin of clicks" is vague and ambiguous because the geographical location of a client computer that interacts with a host computer or computers is not susceptible to determination with perfect accuracy due to the nature of the process for assignment of Internet Protocol addresses. Google further objects to this Request as unduly burdensome to the extent that it calls for the disclosure of "source code," which plaintiff has not shown to be relevant to the claims or defenses of any party and the disclosure of which, even under the strict inspection protocol contemplated by the parties, exposes Google to the risk of substantial irreparable harm.

Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks(a) to address the vagueness and ambiguity created by its language requesting "all documents" and (b) to limit the undue burden imposed by this Request as written.

AMENDMENT TO RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:

Subject to the foregoing specific objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified

26

after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that show the characteristics of its Location Targeting feature, including, without limitation, the purpose of the Location Targeting feature, the function of the Location Targeting feature, default settings for Location Targeting, data used by the Location Targeting feature, statements Google has published concerning Location Targeting, and Google's policies and practices for reviewing and/or verifying the geographic origin of clicks, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 4:

All Documents concerning the Location Targeting Settings Screen (a version of which is displayed in Exhibit N to the Complaint), including, without limitation, Documents describing all information, settings, or options included or considered by You for inclusion on the Location Targeting Settings Screen (or by link from the Location Targeting Settings Screen) and all versions or variations of the Location Targeting Settings Screen.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro.

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

REQUEST NO. 5:

sources.

All Documents concerning the information Google reports to AdWords Advertisers related to the geographic origin of clicks, including the geographic origin of clicks as reported in AdWords Advertisers' accounts.

34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean

those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence

and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this

Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or

any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's

obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information.

Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or

containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will

produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do

nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a

reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that are

responsive to this Request, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce

so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to a suitable protective order.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to a suitable protective order. Google further objects to this Request to the extent that the term "geographic origin of clicks" is vague and ambiguous because it is subject to multiple interpretations and because the geographical location of a client computer that interacts with a host computer or computers is not susceptible to determination with perfect accuracy due to the nature of the process for assignment of Internet Protocol addresses.

This Request includes several mistaken assumptions, including that it is possible to determine the "geographic origin" of "clicks" with precision. However, subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks: (a) to address the vagueness and ambiguity created by these assumptions and by its language requesting "all documents" and (b) to limit the undue burden imposed by this Request as written.

AMENDMENT TO RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5:

Subject to the foregoing specific objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information,

that show information Google reports to individual AdWords Advertisers related to the geographic origin of clicks, including the geographic origin of clicks as reported in individual AdWords Advertisers' accounts, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 6:

All Documents concerning Exhibit 1 (attached hereto) and the subject matter of Exhibit 1, including, without limitation, all "requests" discussed in Exhibit 1.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information.

Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to a suitable protective order.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that are related to Exhibit 1 to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources.

REQUEST NO. 7:

All Documents concerning refunds demanded by AdWords Advertisers related to Location Targeting.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's

obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to a suitable protective order.

Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks: (a) to address the vagueness and ambiguity created by its language requesting "all documents" and (b) to limit the undue burden imposed by this Request as written.

AMENDMENT TO RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7:

Subject to the foregoing specific objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that show refunds demanded by individual AdWords Advertisers related to Location Targeting, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 8:

Documents identifying all AdWords advertisers who used the Location Targeting feature (including the identification of the location(s) selected) but received one or more clicks originating from a geographic location outside the selected Location Targeting area.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 8:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further

objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to a suitable protective order. Google further objects to this Request to the extent that the phrase "originating from a geographic location outside the selected Location Targeting area" is vague and ambiguous because it is subject to multiple interpretations and because the geographical location of a client computer that interacts with a host computer or computers is not susceptible to determination with perfect accuracy due to the nature of the process for assignment of Internet Protocol addresses.

This Request includes several mistaken assumptions, including that it is possible to determine the "geographic origin" of "clicks" with precision. However, subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks: (a) to address the vagueness and ambiguity created by these assumptions and by its language requesting "all documents" and (b) to limit the undue burden imposed by this Request as written.

AMENDMENT TO RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 8:

Subject to the foregoing specific objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that show individual AdWords advertisers who used the Location Targeting feature (including the identification of the location(s) selected) but received one or more clicks originating from a geographic location outside the selected Location Targeting area, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

REQUEST NO. 9:

All documents, including Click Data, Location Targeting settings, and billing statements, for all AdWords Advertisers who used the Location Targeting feature.

agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the

scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so

generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 9:

Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to a suitable protective order.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Subject to the foregoing specific objections, and without waiving them, Google will to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner

produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that show ad impressions and settings related to Location Targeting for individual AdWords advertisers that used the Location Targeting feature, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google is willing to meet

and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks (a) to address

the vagueness and ambiguity created by its language requesting "all documents" and (b) to limit

the undue burden imposed by this Request as written.

AMENDMENT TO RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 9:

REQUEST NO. 10:

for Standard Litigation.

All Documents concerning the fees, revenues, and/or other amounts charged and earned by Google for clicks originating from users located outside of the geographic locations specified by AdWords Advertisers.

contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to a suitable protective order. Google further objects to this Request to the extent that the phrase "clicks originating from users located outside of the geographic locations specified by AdWords Advertisers" is vague and ambiguous because it is subject to multiple interpretations and because the geographical location of a client computer that interacts with a host computer or computers is not susceptible to determination with perfect accuracy due to the nature of the process for assignment of Internet Protocol addresses.

This Request includes several mistaken assumptions, including that it is possible to determine the "geographic origin" of "clicks" with precision. However, subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks: (a) to address the vagueness and ambiguity created by these assumptions and by its language requesting "all documents" and (b) to limit the undue burden imposed by this Request as written.

AMENDMENT TO RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10:

Subject to the foregoing specific objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that show fees, revenues, and/or other amounts charged and earned by Google for clicks originating from users located outside of the geographic locations specified by individual AdWords Advertisers, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 11:

All Documents and/or correspondence between Google and AdWords Advertisers concerning Location Targeting or billing for clicks outside the selected Location Targeting area.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 11:

Google incorporates by reference its general objections stated above. In particular, Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information.

Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to a suitable protective order. Google further objects to this Request to the extent that the phrase "outside the selected targeting area" is vague and ambiguous because it is subject to multiple interpretations and because the geographical location of a client computer that interacts with a host computer or computers is not susceptible to determination with perfect accuracy due to the nature of the process for assignment of Internet Protocol addresses.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks(a) to address the vagueness and ambiguity created by its language requesting "all documents" and (b) to limit the undue burden imposed by this Request as written.

AMENDMENT TO RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 11:

Subject to the foregoing specific objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to correspondence between Google and individual AdWords Advertisers concerning Location Targeting or billing for clicks outside the selected Location Targeting area, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

Dated: February 22, 2013

MAYER BROWN LLP
BY: /s/ Eric B. Evans
Eric B. Evans

Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	I, Eric B. Evans, declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this				
2	action. I am employed in Santa Clara County, California. My business address is Mayer Brown				
3	LLP, Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300, Palo Alto, California 94306-2112. On February 22,				
4	2013, I served the foregoing documents described as:				
5	GOOGLE INC.'S SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES				
6	TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS				
7		PROOF OF SERVICE			
8	×	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Palo Alto, California addressed as set			
9		forth below.			
10		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to an agent for Overnight delivery.			
11	×	by causing to be transmitted by email the document(s) listed above to the addressee(s) at the email address(es) listed below.			
13		by telefaxing a copy thereof to the following individual(s) at the following facsimile number(s):			
14	Dam	zi Abadou, Esq.			
15	Stace	ey M. Kaplan, Esq.			
16		D. Peterson, Esq. SSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP			
17		Sansome Street, Suite 1850			
18		Francisco, CA 94104			
19		phone: 415.400.3000 imile: 415.400.3001			
		ail: rabadou@ktmc.com			
20	Jose	ph H. Meltzer, Esq. (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)			
21	Sean M. Handler, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice)				
22		r H. LeVan, Jr., Esq. (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) mon A. Amjed, Esq. (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)			
23	•	n T. Degnan, Esq. (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) SSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP			
24		King of Prussia Road			
25	Radnor, PA 19087 Telephone: 610.667.7706				
26	Facsimile: 610.667.7056				
27	Ema	il: rdegnan@ktmc.com			
Į.					

PROOF OF SERVICE Jeffrey J. Angelovich, Esq. (*Pro Hac Vice*) 1 Brad E. Seidel (*Pro Hac Vice*) NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP 2 3600 N. Capital of Texas Highway Building B, Suite 350 3 Austin, TX 78746 4 Telephone: 512.328.5333 Facsimile: 512.328.5335 5 Email: bseidel@npraustin.com 6 Andrew Gordon Pate 7 NIX, PATTERSON AND ROACH, LLP 205 Linda Drive 8 Daingerfield, TX 75638 Telephone: 903.645.7333 9 Facsimile:: 903-645-4415 Email: drewpate@nixlawfirm.com 10 11 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 12 From first set of Dated February 22, 2013 at Palo Alto, California. responses and 13 objections: "I declare under penalty of perjury 14 /s/ Eric B. Evans_ under the laws of the Eric B. Evans 15 State of California that the foregoing is true and 16 correct. I declare that I am employed in the 17 office of a member of the 18 bar of this court at whose direction the service was 19 made." It was signed by Sonya Holloway. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

EXHIBIT B

1	KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK LLP					
2	MELTZER & CHECK, LLP Ramzi Abadou (Bar No. 222567) Stacey M. Kaplan (Bar No. 241989)					
3	One Sansome Street, Suite 1850 San Francisco, CA 94104					
4	Telephone: (415) 400-3000 Facsimile: (415) 400-3001					
5	-and-					
6	und					
7	Joseph H. Meltzer (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Sean M. Handler (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP Jeffrey J. Angelovich (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)				
8	Naumon A. Amjed (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Ryan T. Degnan (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)		Brad E. Seidel (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Andrew G. Pate (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)			
9	280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087	3600 N. Capital of Texas Highway Building B, Suite 350 Austin, TX 78746 Telephone: (512) 328-5333				
10	Telephone: (610) 667-7706 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056					
11	Interim Co-Class Counsel	Facsimile: (512) 328-5335				
12						
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION					
16 17	RICK WOODS, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,		Case No. 11-cv-1263-EJD			
18	Plaintiff,		PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REQUEST			
19	v.		FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS			
20	GOOGLE INC.,					
21	Defendant.					
22	PROPOUNDING PARTY: PLAINTII RESPONDING PARTY: GOOGLE		INTIFF RICK WOODS			
23			OGLE INC.			
24) (2)			
25						
26						
27						
28	PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS CASE NO. 11-CV-1263-EJD	₹				

Pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the United States District Court of the Northern District of California, Plaintiff Rick Woods hereby requests that Google Inc. produce all original documents responsive to these requests, including all non-identical copies, within thirty days of service hereof, at the office of Nix, Patterson & Roach, LLP, located at 3600 N. Capital of Texas Highway, Building B, Suite 350, Austin, Texas 78746, or at such other location as is mutually agreed upon by counsel.

Defendant shall produce all responsive documents and ESI in the manner agreed upon in the [Stipulated] Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation. *See* Dkt. No. 118.

DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise indicated, as used in these Requests, the following terms shall have the meanings ascribed to each:

- 1. "Ads" means advertisements submitted to Google by AdWords Advertisers.
- 2. "AdWords Advertisers" means persons who advertise or advertised through the AdWords Program.
- 3. "AdWords Help Center" means Google's Internet website (currently located at "support.google.com/adwords") and all corresponding webpages provided by Google to explain the AdWords Program, including all prior versions of the AdWords Help Center and all other similar or related websites provided by Google (including, without limitation, the "Ad Traffic Quality Resource Center" and the "AdWords Learning Center").
- 4. "AdWords Program" or "AdWords" means Google's AdWords online advertising program as defined in Exhibit A to the Complaint and any other similar or related online advertising program of Google.
- 5. "AdWords Website" means Google's Internet website provided to AdWords Advertisers to administer their AdWords advertising and account, including administering settings and bids relating to their Ads.

- 6. "Any" or "all" mean each and every.
- 7. "ClickCostMultiplier" means any value and/or variable Google uses to calculate Smart Pricing Discounts. For example, this term includes the "click cost multipliers" or "CCM" described by Google's expert, Dr. Randolph Bucklin, in paragraph 14 of GOOG00000108.
- 8. "CanApplyClickCostMultiplier" means any value and/or variable Google uses to determine whether to apply a Smart Pricing Discount to particular clicks, Properties or Partners. For example, this term includes the "CanApplyClickCostMultiplier" described by Google's expert, Dr. Randolph Bucklin, in footnote 26 of GOOG00000110.
- 9. "Communications" means any exchange of information by any means of transmission, including, but not limited to, transcriptions, memoranda, intra-office communications, notes of face-to-face conversations, mail, electronic messages, telegrams, overnight deliveries, transcripts or notes of telephone, facsimiles, or telexes.
- 10. The "Complaint" means the Second Amended Class Action Complaint filed by Plaintiff in this action on September 21, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division.
- 11. "Conversion Score" means any value and/or variable Google uses to quantify the likelihood a click on an Ad originating from a particular Property or Partner will convert. For example, this term includes the "property conversion scores" and "pub scores" described by Google's expert, Dr. Randolph Bucklin, in paragraph 14 of GOOG00000108.
- 12. "Describe" means provide a complete explanation, including identification of any and all documents on which your description depends.
- 13. "Display Network" means the portion of Google's advertising network labeled as such in Exhibit I to the Complaint and includes the portion of Google's advertising network previously referred to as the "Content Network" by Google. "Display Network" shall also have the meaning Google intended when it used the term in Paragraph 16 of its Answer (Dkt. No. 123).

14. "Document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(a)(1)(A) and shall include every writing or record of every type and description in any form whatsoever and all other tangible objects. The term Document includes writings, drawings, graphs, charts, spreadsheets, photographs, communications, video and sound recordings, images, and other data or data compilations (electronic or otherwise) from which information can be obtained either directly or, if necessary, after translation by the producing party into a reasonably usable form. The term Document also includes electronically stored information ("ESI") and transmission of ESI through Electronic For illustrative purposes, examples of Documents include each of the following: memorandum, file, Communications, correspondence, study, report, working paper, record, recording, minutes, instruction, literature, notes, notebook, diary, calendar, data sheet, work sheet, summary, tabulation, calculation, index, picture, graphic matter, agreement, contract, arrangement, understanding, invoice, bill, web page, software, and algorithm. A draft or nonidentical copy or version is a separate document within the meaning of this term. A Document shall be produced with any and all of the document's associated metadata.

15. "Electronic Media" means any magnetic, flash or other storage medium or media device used to record and/or store ESI. Electronic Media includes, but is not limited to, portable media, hard disks, floppy disks, hard drives, jump drives, thumb drives, memory sticks, flash media, CDs, DVDs, zip drives, personal digital assistance devices and handheld storage devices (e.g., Palm, Blackberry, iPhone or other "smart phones"), magnetic tapes of all types, intranet and Internet repositories of all types including websites, cloud or web storage, software code repositories (including code vaults or other source code storage systems), and any other vehicle for digital data storage, back-up and/or transmittal. Electronic media also includes, without limitation, memory and information storage systems associated with servers, computers, handheld storage devices, iPads, tablet computers, email systems (including those hosted by ISPs), voicemail systems, instant messaging systems, social networking systems, and fax servers.

27

28

23

24

25

- 16. "Electronic messages" means electronic mail, text messages, instant messages, Internet messages, intranet messages, electronic bulletin board messages, blog entries, website postings of any nature, and all other methods by which messages may be transmitted by or through electronic sources.
- 17. "Google," "Defendant," the "Company," "You," or "Your" means Google Inc., and all subsidiaries, predecessors, parents, successors, and present and former divisions and affiliates; and each of their present or former agents, employees, directors, officers, or anyone acting or purporting to act on their behalf or under their control.
- 18. "Identify" and "Identity," when used in reference to a person, mean to give the person's full name, present or last known address, present or last known email address(es), present or last known phone number and, when referring to a natural person, the present or last known employer or business affiliation.
- 19. "Identify" and "Identity," when used in reference to a document, mean to give the document's author(s), recipient(s), title, date, type, general subject matter, current location or custodian and, if produced, bates number.
- 20. "Identify" and "Identity," when used in reference to a communication, mean to give the full name, last known address, last known phone number, employer or business affiliation of the parties to the communication, the form of the communication, the date of the communication, the subject of the communication, and the manner(s) in which the communication was/is recorded or memorialized.
- 21. "Including" means "including without limitation" or "including, but not limited to."
- 22. "Location Targeting" means the functionality provided by Google for targeting Ads to locations as described by Google in Exhibit O to the Complaint and/or any such functionality provided by Google for the placement of Ads based on an AdWords Advertiser's

selected geographic location. "Location Targeting" shall also have the meaning Google intended when it used the term in Paragraph 114 of its Answer (Dkt. No. 123).

- 23. "Location Targeting Data" means all data recorded and/or maintained by Google or at Google's request concerning Location Targeting and, for each click on an Ad, shall include, without limitation, the complete uniform resource locator (URL) of the Property from which the click originated, the Partner owning and/or operating that Property, whether that Property was a Search Network or Display Network Property, the IP address of the person (Internet user) clicking the Ad, the physical location of the person (Internet user) clicking the Ad, whether the Ad was displayed based on the Internet user's physical location or terms used in a search request (search intent), the search request used by the Internet user to cause the Ad's impression, the identity of the AdWords Advertiser who paid for the click, the price the AdWords Advertiser paid for the click, the geographic origin of the click as determined by Google at the time of the click, the Location Targeting settings in effect for the AdWords Advertiser at the time of the click, and whether the click resulted in a conversion.
- 24. "Location Targeting Settings Screen" means the webpage or screen on the AdWords Website on which an AdWords Advertiser may set, edit, or modify its Location Targeting settings.
- "Mobile Partners" means all Partners who display Ads that appear on mobile 25. devices with full Internet browsers (as described in Exhibit L to the Complaint) and/or mobile apps (as described in Exhibit I to the Complaint).
- "Or" or "And" should be construed as disjunctive and conjunctive to bring within 26. the scope of these requests all documents which would otherwise be construed to be outside their scope.
 - 27. "Partner" means a person or entity who owns or operates a Property.

26

27

- 28. "Person(s)" means any natural person or any business, legal or governmental entity, or association and all of their officials, directors, officers, employees, representatives, attorneys, agents, and any other person acting on their behalf.
 - 29. "Plaintiff" means Plaintiff Rick Woods.
- 30. "Property" means a webpage, website, domain, or property on which Google displays (or to which Google delivers) Ads including, without limitation, all webpages, websites, domains, or properties owned and/or operated by Google or on the "Google Network" as that term is used in Exhibit I to the Complaint.
- 31. "Relating to," "related to," "relate(s) to," "concerning," and "concern" mean all things or documents that in any way discuss, constitute, concern, refer to, are connected with, arise from, reflect, assess, record, summarize, evaluate, or comment on the subject or object of the discovery request.
- 32. "Search Network" means the portion of Google's advertising network labeled as such in Exhibit I to the Complaint.
- 33. "Smart Price" or "Smart Pricing" means Google's pricing discount feature that automatically reduces the price an advertiser pays for a click based on the likelihood the click will convert. For example, this term includes the feature described as such by Google in Exhibits B–F attached to the Complaint. "Smart Price" or "Smart Pricing" shall also have the meaning Google intended when it used the term in Paragraphs 32 and 37 of its Answer (Dkt. No. 123).
- 34. "Smart Pricing Data" means all data recorded or maintained by Google or at Google's request concerning Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, the amount of Smart Pricing Discounts, whether to apply a Smart Pricing Discount at any given time, how to apply Smart Pricing Discounts, and any information used by Google's "proprietary algorithm" referenced in Paragraph 37 of its Answer (Dkt. No. 123). Without limiting the foregoing, this term also includes Conversion Scores, ClickCostMultipliers, and the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier variable.

- 35. "Smart Pricing Discount" means the amount by which Google reduces the price of a click based on Smart Pricing. For example, this term includes any value resulting from the formulas for Smart Pricing described by Google's expert, Dr. Randolph Bucklin, on GOOG00000163 and in footnote 14 of GOOG00000108. This term also includes any discount resulting from the use of the "proprietary algorithm" referenced in Paragraph 37 of Google's Answer (Dkt. No. 123).
- 36. "Special Partner" means any Partner owning and/or operating a Property to which Google delivers Ads and whose agreement with Google varies in any way from the standard AdSense Program Terms and Conditions, or who has been exempted, in whole or in part, from Smart Pricing for any Display Network click. Special Partners include, without limitation: IAC/InterActiveCorp.; InfoSpace Inc.; Value Click Inc.; Network Solutions LLC; Peeplo.com; Conduit; and Xacti.
- 37. The present tense of any verb includes the simple past, past perfect, simple future, and future perfect tenses. For example, "use" includes "used," "will use," "had used," and "will have used."
- 38. The use of any word includes the plural and vice versa and the use of the masculine gender shall include the feminine and neutral genders and vice versa.
- 39. Unless a word or term has been given a specific definition herein, each word or term used herein shall be given its usual and customary dictionary definition, except where such words have a specific custom and usage definition in Google's trade or industry, in which case they shall be interpreted in accordance with such usual custom and usage definition of which Google is aware.

456

7

10

9

1213

11

1415

1617

18 19

2021

2223

24

25

26

2728

INSTRUCTIONS

The following instructions are an integral part of these requests and apply to each of them, as well as to any other discovery requests incorporating these instructions.

- 1. Your response to each Request for Production shall include all documents or things within Your custody, possession, or control or in the custody, possession, or control of Your directors, officers, partners, members, agents, employees, subsidiaries, managing agents, affiliates, investigators, representatives, or Your attorneys or their agents, employees, assignees, representatives, or investigators.
- 2. If these Requests for Production cannot be responded to in full, respond to the extent possible, specify the reasons for Your inability to produce the remainder, and state whatever information or knowledge You have concerning the unproduced portion.
- 3. Documents shall be produced as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or organized and labeled to correspond to the categories of the particular document request for those documents that were created. Electronically stored information responsive to these Requests for Production shall be produced in the manner set forth in the [Stipulated] Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation. *See* Dkt. No. 118.
- 4. You should produce the original of each document described below, or if the original is unavailable, then a copy thereof, and in any event, all non-identical copies which differ from the original or from the other copies produced for any reason, including, but not limited to, the making of notes thereon.
- 5. All documents shall be produced in the file folder, envelope or other container in which the documents are kept or maintained. If, for any reason, the container cannot be produced, produce copies of all labels or other identifying marks.
 - 6. Documents attached to each other should not be separated.
- 7. Documents not otherwise responsive to these requests shall be produced if such documents mention, discuss, refer to, or explain the documents which are called for by this

discovery request, or if such documents are attached to documents called for by this discovery request and constitute routing slips, transmittal memoranda, letters, comments, evaluations, or similar material.

- 8. These Requests for Production are continuing within the meaning of FRCP 26. As a result, in the event that You locate additional responsive documents after furnishing Your responses to these Requests for Production, You are required to supplement Your responses to these Requests for Production.
- 9. If any document or a portion of any document is withheld from production on the ground that its production is privileged due to attorney-client privilege, work-product rule, or other legally recognized standard preventing its disclosure to a requesting party, that portion of the document need not be produced, but You shall state separately for each such document: (1) the legal and factual basis on which You claim protection against production; (2) the date of creation of the documents; (3) the nature of the document (e.g., letter, memorandum); (4) the full name, title, and employer of each author of each such document; (5) the full name, title, and employer of each addressee and named recipient of the document; (6) the full name, title, and employer of each person who to Your knowledge has seen the document; and (7) the general substance of the document.
- 10. Whenever a document is not produced in full or is produced in redacted form, so indicate on the document and state with particularity the reason or reasons it is not being produced in full.
- 11. If a document responsive to these requests was at any time in Your possession, custody or control but now is no longer available for production, identify such document or thing as completely as possible, including the following information: (1) an explanation of the type of document or thing it was; (2) whether the document is missing or lost; (3) whether it has been destroyed; (4) whether the document has been transferred or delivered to another person or entity and, if so, at whose request and who was it transferred to; (5) whether the document has been

otherwise disposed of; (6) a precise statement of the circumstances surrounding the disposition of the document and the date of the document's disposition, including when and why it was disposed of, discarded, or destroyed; (7) the identities of all persons who know or knew of its existence and contents; and (8) the identity of the person who lost, discarded, or destroyed it.

- 12. If You object to any of the definitions or instructions applicable to these requests, state Your objection in Your response and clearly indicate whether you are complying with the definition or instruction notwithstanding Your objection.
- 13. If You object to answering any of these requests, or withhold documents from production in response to any request, in whole or in part, state (1) Your objections and/or reasons for not responding, (2) state all factual and legal justifications that You believe support Your objection or failure to answer or produce, and (3) whether You are complying with the request notwithstanding Your objection.
- 14. If You object to answering only part of a request, specify the part to which You object and respond to the remainder.
- 15. If You object to any request on the ground of overbreadth, You are instructed to respond to the request as narrowed to conform to your objection.
- 16. In no event should any response be left blank. If the response to any request is, for example, "none" or "not applicable," such statement should be written as a response.
- 17. If You encounter any ambiguity in construing a request, or the definition or instruction relevant to the request, set forth the matter deemed "ambiguous" and set forth the construction chosen or used in responding.
- 18. If you do not possess one or more of the requested documents, You should so state and describe all Your efforts to search for the documents necessary to respond to the request.

234

5

67

8

9

11

12

14

1516

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

THE RELEVANT PERIOD

The "Relevant Period" shall be from April 1, 2004 to the present. Unless otherwise indicated, each request contained herein shall be read to include all Documents and information which relate in whole or in part to the Relevant Period, or to events or circumstances during such period, even though dated, prepared, generated, or received prior or subsequent to that period. Unless otherwise indicated, these Requests for Production are limited to the Relevant Period.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1: All Documents in the possession, custody, or control of all individuals identified in Google's Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures concerning the subject matters of relevant testimony listed for each individual.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2: All Documents referenced or identified in Google's Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3: All Documents concerning the composition, definition, description, characterization, and/or classification of the Display Network including, without limitation, Documents sufficient to identify all Properties belonging to the Display Network.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4: All versions of the website (and associated webpages) located at www.google.com/ads/displaynetwork.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5: All Documents concerning the composition, definition, description, characterization, and/or classification of the Search Network, including, without limitation, Documents sufficient to identify all Properties belonging to the Search Network.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6: All Documents concerning the definitions of, and/or distinctions between, any category of Ads displayed on Properties owned and/or operated by Mobile Partners including, without limitation: Ads displayed on applications on mobile devices

- 1	
1	(as described in Exhibit J to the Complaint); Ads displayed on mobile devices with full Internet
2	browsers (as described in Exhibit L to the Complaint); and WAP mobile ads (as described in
3	Exhibit L to the Complaint).
4	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7: All Documents concerning Smart Pricing, Smart
5	Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data. For example, this Request includes Documents
6	concerning: the purpose of Smart Pricing; the function (including source code) of Smart Pricing;
7	and Google's method(s) for determining, calculating, and/or recording Smart Pricing Discounts
8	and Smart Pricing Data.
9	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8: All Documents concerning the "proprietary
10	algorithm" referenced by Google in Paragraph 37 of its Answer, including the "proprietary
11	algorithm" itself.
12	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9: All Documents concerning the purposes and/or
13	roles of ClickCostMultipliers, the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier, and Conversion Scores.
14	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10: All Documents concerning any studies, reports,
15	and/or analyses related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data.
16	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11: All Documents concerning Communications related
17	to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data. For example, this Request
18	includes Communications concerning: the purpose of Smart Pricing; the application of Smart
19	Pricing Discounts to clicks from particular Properties, Partners, and/or categories of Properties;
20	and Google's method(s) for determining, calculating, and/or recording Smart Pricing Discounts
21	and Smart Pricing Data.
22	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12: All Documents concerning Google's policies,
23	practices, decisions, guidelines, procedures, or standards regarding the application of Smart
24	Pricing and/or Smart Pricing Discounts to clicks on Ads. For example, this Request includes
25	Google's methods for determining: whether to apply a Smart Pricing Discount to a particular
26	

1	click, Partner, and/or Property; and Google's method(s) for determining and/or calculating Smart		
2	Pricing Discounts and Smart Pricing Data.		
3	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13: All Documents concerning Smart Pricing published		
4	by Google including, without limitation, Documents published on the AdWords Help Center, the		
5	AdWords Website, and any Documents linked thereto.		
6	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14: All Documents concerning Communications related		
7	to the Documents requested in Request for Production No. 13		
8	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15: All Documents reflecting, discussing, describing,		
9	and/or referencing in any manner Google's "measurements for the applicable Program" as that		
10	phrase is used in paragraph 7 of Exhibit A to the Complaint.		
11	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16: All drafts of Exhibits B–G, I–J, and L, all		
12	Communications concerning Exhibits B-G, I-J, and L, and all versions of Exhibits B-G, I-J,		
13	and L published by Google.		
14	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17: All Documents concerning all agreements with		
15	Special Partners related to delivering Ads for display on Properties owned and/or operated by		
16	Special Partners.		
17	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18: All Documents concerning Google's		
18	Communications with Special Partners related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and		
19	Smart Pricing Data.		
20	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19: All Documents concerning all agreements with		
21	Mobile Partners related to delivering Ads for display on Properties owned and/or operated by		
22	Mobile Partners.		
23	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20: All Documents concerning Google's		
24	Communications with Mobile Partners related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and		
25	Smart Pricing Data.		
26			
27			

number of clicks each such advertiser paid for where Google did not apply a Smart Pricing
Discount; the amount spent by each advertiser on each such click; and the Smart Pricing
Discount, Conversion Score, and ClickCostMultiplier that would have applied at the time of each
click.
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26: All Documents concerning the fees, revenues and/or
other amounts charged and earned, if different, by Google for clicks originating from the Display
Network for which no Smart Pricing Discount was applied.
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27: All Documents concerning the fees, revenues and/or
other amounts charged and earned, if different, by Google for clicks originating from the Display
Network for which the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier variable equaled "False".
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28: All Documents concerning the fees, revenues and/or
other amounts charged and earned by Google for clicks originating from Properties on the
Display Network owned and/or operated by Mobile Partners.
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29: All Documents concerning the fees, revenues and/or
other amounts charged and earned by Google for clicks originating from Properties on the
Display Network owned and/or operated by Special Partners.
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30: All Documents and/or correspondence between
Google and AdWords Advertisers concerning Smart Pricing, including, without limitation,
documents concerning refunds demanded by AdWords Advertisers related to Smart Pricing
and/or complaints related to Smart Pricing.
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31: All Documents produced by Google in connection
with the In re Google AdWords Litigation, No. 5:08-CV-3369-EJD, in the Northern District of
California concerning Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data.
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32: All Documents relied upon and/or cited by Dr.
Randolph Bucklin in preparation of GOOG00000101 - 165 and GOOG00000166 - 260,

1	including, without limitation, the deposition transcripts of Courtney Bowman and Hal Varian			
2	2 referenced by Dr. Bucklin.	referenced by Dr. Bucklin.		
3	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33: Un-redacted copies of all Documents (including any			
4	4 exhibits or attachments) filed in the <i>In re Google</i>	exhibits or attachments) filed in the <i>In re Google AdWords Litigation</i> , No. 5:08-CV-3369-EJD,		
5	5 in the Northern District of California.	in the Northern District of California.		
6	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34: All	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34: All Documents concerning the "go/ip-history"		
7	database referred to in GOOG00004720, including, without limitation, such database.			
8	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35: All Documents concerning the "Location Extraction			
9	Server (LES)" database referred to in GOOG00004722, including, without limitation, such			
10	database.			
11	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36: All Documents concerning all clicks identified by			
12	Plaintiff in paragraph 120 of the Complaint in possession of the "IPGeo team" as that term is			
13	used in GOOG00004720.			
14	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37: All Documents identifying all clicks originating			
15	from a physical location outside the location selected by the AdWords Advertiser on the			
16	Location Targeting Settings Screen at the time of the click and all Location Targeting Data for			
17	7 each such click.			
18	8			
19	9 DATED: May 6, 2013 Resp	ectfully submitted,		
20		ndrew G. Pate		
21	1	PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP ey J. Angelovich		
22	<i>1</i> []	E. Seidel ew G. Pate		
23	3600	N. Capital of Texas Highway		
24		ling B, Suite 350 n, TX 78746		
25	F 11	phone: (512) 328-5333 mile: (512) 328-5335		
26		(-)		
27	7			

28

KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP

Ramzi Abadou Stacey M. Kaplan One Sansome Street, Suite 1850 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 400-3000 Facsimile: (415) 400-3001

-and-

Joseph H. Meltzer Sean M. Handler Naumon A. Amjed Ryan T. Degnan 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087 Telephone: (610) 667-7706 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056

Interim Co-Class Counsel

11		
Thereby certify that on May 6, 2013, a true and correct copy of the foregoing documen was served via electronic mail and first class mail upon all counsel of record. Standard Grant Gr	1	
I hereby certify that on May 6, 2013, a true and correct copy of the foregoing documen was served via electronic mail and first class mail upon all counsel of record. Is Andrew G. Pate	2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
was served via electronic mail and first class mail upon all counsel of record. Insert content of the conten	3	
	4	
7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	5	was served via electronic mail and first class mail upon all counsel of record.
7 8 9 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	6	lal Androw G. Pata
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	7	IS/ Andrew G. 1 die
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	8	
11	9	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	10	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	11	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
18		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		
21 22 23 24 25 26 27		
22 23 24 25 26 27		
23 24 25 26 27		
24 25 26 27		
25 26 27 28		
26 27 28 28 29 29 29 29 29 29		
27		
28		
	28	PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR

PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS CASE NO. 11-CV-1263-EJD

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MAYER BROWN LLP Edward D. Johnson (SBN 189475) wjohnson@mayerbrown.com Donald M. Falk (SBN 150256) dfalk@mayerbrown.com Eric B. Evans (SBN 232476) eevans@mayerbrown.com Jonathan A. Helfgott (SBN 278969) jhelfgott@mayerbrown.com Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 Telephone: (650) 331-2000 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060		
9	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12 13 14 15 16 17	RICKS WOODS, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, v. GOOGLE INC., Defendant.	Case No. 11-cv-1263-EJD GOOGLE INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS	
19	Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 34, Defendant Google Inc		
20	("Google"), by and through its counsel of record, hereby objects and responds to Plaintiffs		
21	Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents ("Requests") as follows.		
22	GENERAL STATEMENTS AND OBJECTIONS		
23	1. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that		
24	they seek to impose on Google any obligations exceeding or differing from the requirements of		
25	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules and any orders of the presiding Court, or		
26	other applicable law. Google will comply with its obligations under the Rules and the law		
27	which Plaintiffs have no authority to increase or a	alter.	
28			

19

20

22

21

24

23

25 26

27 28

- .2. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek information that was prepared in anticipation of litigation, constitute attorney work product, disclose mental impressions, conclusions, opinions or legal theories of any attorney for or other representative of Google, contain privileged attorney-client communications, or are otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google shall not produce such material in response to Plaintiffs' Requests. Any disclosure of such protected or privileged information is inadvertent and shall not be construed as a waiver of any applicable privileges or protections. Google reserves the right to correct the record with regard to any such inadvertent disclosure. Communications between and among Google's in-house counsel and outside attorneys, and between Google and its counsel, related to the instant litigation or any government investigation, are clearly subject to attorney-client privilege and/or the work product doctrine and not responsive to discovery regarding the claims at issue, and therefore will not be disclosed on any privilege log. To the extent that Google withholds documents it understands to be responsive to a particular request on the basis of this General Objection 2, it will do so based only on its specific objections to that particular request.
- 3. Google further objects to these Requests to the extent they seek information regarding Google's activities or employees located outside of the United States as overbroad, unduly burdensome and outside the scope of information reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- Google further objects to the extent the Requests seek a complete and exhaustive response or explanation regarding any issue in this litigation, before discovery has been completed. Any response Google makes to any proper discovery requests by Plaintiffs will remain at all times subject to additional or different information that discovery or further investigation, analysis, or recollection may disclose. Accordingly, Google will at all times reserve the right to amend or supplement its objections and responses.
- 5. Google reserves the right to make any use of, or to introduce at any hearing or trial, information that is responsive to Plaintiffs' requests, but reviewed, discovered, or discovered to be relevant subsequent to Google's responses herein.

- 6. Google reserves the right to object on any ground at any time to such other or supplemental discovery requests as Plaintiffs may propound involving or relating to the same subject matter of these Requests.
- 7. The responses below shall not be construed as an admission as to the relevance or admissibility of any statement or characterization contained in any request. Google reserves all objections, including without limitation objections as to competency, relevance, materiality, privilege, authenticity, or admissibility.
- 8. The responses below shall not be construed as an admission of or agreement with any implication, statement, characterization or conclusions implied or stated in any instruction, definition, or request.
- 9. The term "will produce" as used in these responses means that, subject to the general and specific objections set forth herein, Google will produce documents of the types or categories described to the extent that such documents exist and are within Google's possession, custody and/or control. Google's statement that it "will produce" documents, or other response to the Requests below, should not be construed as a statement or concession that responsive documents in fact exist.
- 10. To the extent that pleadings and/or papers filed or served in this action are responsive to these Requests and without waiving the right to rely on any such documents for any purposes in this action, Google will not separately produce pleadings and/or papers filed or served in this action in response to discovery requests.
- any other applicable law or court rule. Google further reserves the right to make future motions and objections relating to the Requests at any subsequent stage of this action, including, but not limited to, the right to object to the use of any responses, or the subject matter thereof, on any ground (including materiality and relevance) in any proceeding in any action. Google's responses are made based on its understanding and interpretation of each request. Google reserves the right to supplement or amend its objections and responses should Plaintiffs

subsequently put forth an interpretation of any request that differs from that being used by Google for these responses.

- 12. Google's written responses and document productions will be made pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order entered in this matter. Google's decision, now or in the future, to provide materials in response to the Requests is not and should not be construed as (a) a waiver of Google's general objections or the objections asserted in response to specific Requests, (b) a stipulation that the material is relevant, properly requested, admissible at trial, or that responsive documents exist, or (c) an agreement that requests for similar information will be treated in a similar manner.
- 13. Google has not completed (a) its investigation of the facts relating to this case, (b) its discovery in this action, or (c) its preparation for trial. Thus, the following responses are based upon the information known at this time and are given without prejudice to Google's right to supplement its responses with any subsequently discovered or inadvertently omitted information. These responses do not preclude Google from later relying on facts or documents discovered or generated pursuant to further investigation or discovery which may be conducted after the date of these responses.
- 14. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek documents constituting or containing confidential or proprietary information, trade secrets, intellectual property, or commercially sensitive information. To the extent that any such documents may be produced, the production will occur only pursuant to the Protective Order entered in this matter and only to the extent Google can do so consistent with its legal, contractual and other confidentiality obligations.
- 15. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law to protect such confidential information. To the extent that any such documents may be produced, the production will occur only pursuant to the Protective Order entered in this

matter and only to the extent Google can do so consistent with its legal and confidentiality obligations.

- 16. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they seek documents constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will provide such material in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations.
- 17. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek documents or information (1) not currently in Google's possession, custody, or control, or (2) that Google cannot locate after a reasonably diligent search. Google also objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they seek in any other way to subject Google to unreasonable and undue annoyance, oppression, burden, and expense; and/or seek to impose upon Google an obligation to investigate or discover information or materials from sources equally accessible to Plaintiffs.
- 18. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they seek information readily available through public sources or records, on the grounds that such Requests unreasonably subject Google to undue burden and expense.
- 19. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests as overbroad, unduly burdensome, cumulative and duplicative to the extent that they seek the production of "all" and "any" documents of a specified type or nature. Google also objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests as overbroad, vague and ambiguous, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, to the extent that they seek information regarding "any" or "all" persons, entities, objects or events.
- 20. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they require Google to provide Plaintiffs with direct access to Google's computer systems or hardware.
- 21. Google objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent that they seek to restrict the facts, witnesses, and evidence on which Google may rely on at trial. By responding and objecting to these Requests, Google does not intend to, and does not, limit the

evidence on which it may rely to support its contentions and defenses at trial, or to rebut or impeach contentions, assertions, and evidence presented by Plaintiffs. Furthermore, Google reserves the right to supplement or amend its responses and objections.

- 22. Google objects to each Request to the extent that it calls for a legal conclusion.
- 23. Google objects to the Definitions and Instructions to the extent they purport to enlarge, expand, or alter in any way the plain meaning and scope of any specific term or specific Request on the ground that such enlargement, expansion, or alteration renders the term or Request vague, ambiguous, unintelligible, overbroad, unduly burdensome, and/or uncertain.
- 24. Google objects to Definition #9, and to the term "Communications," on the grounds that the definition is overbroad, vague and ambiguous.
- 25. Google objects to Definition #14, and to the terms "Document," "copies" and "drafts" used in that definition on the grounds that the definition is overbroad, vague and ambiguous and to the extent it extends beyond the meaning of that term as used in Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Google reserves all rights to assert further objections to this definition and the scope of Plaintiffs' Requests.
- 26. Google objects to Definition #15 and to the term "Electronic Media," on the grounds that the definition is overbroad, vague and ambiguous and to the extent it extends beyond the meaning of that term as used in Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Google further objects to the Instructions, Definitions, and Requests to the extent they seek electronically stored information that is not reasonably accessible by Google because of undue burden or cost. Google will provide responsive ESI pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation Regarding Production Format of Electronically Stored Information ("Format Stipulation") in this Action and any additional agreements regarding the scope of preservation and collection of ESI that may be agreed upon by the parties in this matter.
- 27. Google objects to Definitions #15 and 16 and to the terms "Electronic Media" and "Electronic messages" used in those definitions to the extent that they purport to seek electronically stored information from sources that are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost, including, without limitation:

information and documents that are not currently in the possession, custody, or control of Google. Google interprets "You" and "Your" to mean Google Inc., and responds on behalf of itself and no other person or entity. Google also objects to this definition to the extent it purports to include Google's outside counsel or any third parties, and to the extent the definition purports to include "agents," "employees," or "anyone acting or purporting to act on their behalf or under their control," because those terms are vague, ambiguous and overbroad. Google's responses are limited to current and former employees of Google, Inc.

- 30. Google objects to these Requests to the extent they seek information and documents without defining a relevant time period as overbroad as to time, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Google will limit its responses to the time period of April 1, 2004 to March 15, 2011.
- 31. Google objects to Instruction #1 and to these Requests to the extent they seek "all documents" related to particular subject matter to the extent that this renders them overbroad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Google will interpret Requests seeking "all documents" or "any documents" as seeking those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense.
- 32. Google objects to Instruction #9 and the Plaintiff's description of the "Relevant Period" to the extent they are overbroad as to time, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Google will limit its responses to the time period of April 1, 2004 to April 9, 2013.
- 33. Google objects to Instructions #9 and 10 because they are unduly burdensome to the extent they purport to impose duties on Google that exceed the requirements set forth in Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; to the extent they request information beyond that necessary to establish Google's claim of privilege; and to the extent they request information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine.

- 34. Google objects to Instruction #11 as overbroad and unduly burdensome, as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and as seeking to impose obligations beyond those established by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 35. Google further objects to these Requests on the grounds that they are overbroad, and seek information that is neither relevant to any party's claim or defense in this litigation, nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. To be discoverable, information must be "relevant to any party's claim or defense" and at least "reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).

The objections above are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth in each response below. Without waiving any of the foregoing objections, Google responds as follows:

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES

REQUEST NO. 1:

All Documents in the possession, custody, or control of all individuals identified in Google's Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures concerning the subject matters of relevant testimony listed for each individual.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 1: Google incorporates by reference its general statements stated above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense, of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent

10 11

12

13

14 15

17 18

16

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that are in the possession, custody, or control of the individuals identified in Google's Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures, as amended, concerning the subject matters of relevant testimony listed for each individual, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources.

REQUEST NO. 2:

All Documents referenced or identified in Google's Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 2:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that are referenced or identified in Google's Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures, as amended, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources.

REQUEST NO. 3:

All Documents concerning the composition, definition, description, characterization, and/or classification of the Display Network including, without limitation, Documents sufficient to identify all Properties belonging to the Display Network.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 3:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further objects to this Request on the grounds that the phrase "Documents sufficient to identify all Properties belonging to the Display Network" is vague, ambiguous, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and subject to multiple

interpretations. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that are sufficient to identify Properties belonging to the Display Network. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 4:

All versions of the website (and associated webpages) located at www.google.com/ads/displaynetwork.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 4:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all versions of the website (and associated webpages)" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean

those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google, further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information that reflect versions of the website and associated webpages located at www.google.com/ads/displaynetwork, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources.

REQUEST NO. 5:

All Documents concerning the composition, definition, description, characterization, and/or classification of the Search Network, including, without limitation, Documents sufficient to identify all Properties belonging to the Search Network.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 5:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any

party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action. Google further objects to this Request to the extent that the term "Properties belonging to the search network" is vague and ambiguous and subject to varying interpretations.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that are sufficient to identify Properties belonging to the Search Network. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 6:

All Documents concerning the definitions of, and/or distinctions between, any category of Ads displayed on Properties owned and/or operated by Mobile Partners including, without limitation: Ads displayed on applications on mobile devices (as described in Exhibit J to the

14

20 21

19

22 23

> 25 26

24

27

28

Complaint); Ads displayed on mobile devices with full Internet browsers (as described in Exhibit L to the Complaint); and WAP mobile ads (as described in Exhibit L to the Complaint).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 6:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that concern the definitions of or distinctions between categories of Ads displayed on Properties owned and/or operated by Mobile Partners, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably

26

27

28

accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 7:

All Documents concerning Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data. For example, this Request includes Documents concerning: the purpose of Smart Pricing; the function (including source code) of Smart Pricing; and Google's method(s) for determining, calculating, and/or recording Smart Pricing Discounts and Smart Pricing Data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 7:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information that show the characteristics of Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 8:

All Documents concerning the "proprietary algorithm" referenced by Google in Paragraph 37 of its Answer, including the "proprietary algorithm" itself.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 8:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

28

1

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will meet and confer with Plaintiff regarding whether, at an appropriate stage in this Action, it may make available for inspection, subject to the terms of the Protective Order in this action as they apply to source code, nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information that relate to the "proprietary algorithm" referenced in Paragraph 37 of its Answer.

REQUEST NO. 9:

All Documents concerning the purposes and/or roles of ClickCostMultipliers, the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier, and Conversion Scores.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 9:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for

inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to the purposes and/or roles of ClickCostMultipliers, the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier, and Conversion Scores, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 10:

All Documents concerning any studies, reports, and/or analyses related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 10:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information

protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that comprise studies, reports, and/or analyses related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 11:

All Documents concerning Communications related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data. For example, this Request includes Communications concerning: the purpose of Smart Pricing; the application of Smart Pricing Discounts to clicks from particular Properties, Partners, and/or categories of Properties; and Google's method(s) for determining, calculating, and/or recording Smart Pricing Discounts and Smart Pricing Data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 11:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks

"all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to studies, reports, and/or analyses related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 12:

All Documents concerning Google's policies, practices, decisions, guidelines, procedures, or standards regarding the application of Smart Pricing and/or Smart Pricing Discounts to clicks on Ads. For example, this Request includes Google's methods for

25

26

27

28

determining: whether to apply a Smart Pricing Discount to a particular click, Partner, and/or Property; and Google's method(s) for determining and/or calculating Smart Pricing Discounts and Smart Pricing Data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 12:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to Google's policies, practices, decisions, guidelines, procedures, or standards regarding the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

application of Smart Pricing and/or Smart Pricing Discounts to clicks on Ads, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 13:

All Documents concerning Smart Pricing published by Google including, without limitation, Documents published on the AdWords Help Center, the AdWords Website, and any Documents linked thereto.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 13:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by

27

28

any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, comprising documents concerning Smart Pricing published by Google, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources.

REQUEST NO. 14:

All Documents concerning Communications related to the Documents requested in Request for Production No. 13.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 14:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the

extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, comprising communications about documents concerning Smart Pricing published by Google, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources.

REQUEST NO. 15:

All Documents reflecting, discussing, describing, and/or referencing in any manner Google's "measurements for the applicable Program" as that phrase is used in paragraph 7 of Exhibit A to the Complaint.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 15:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request on the grounds and to the extent it seeks it is duplicative of other Requests. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks

6 8 9

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that reflect, discuss, describe, and/or reference in any manner Google's "measurements for the applicable Program" as that phrase is used in paragraph 7 of Exhibit A to the Complaint...

REQUEST NO. 16:

All drafts of Exhibits B-G, I-J, and L, all Communications concerning Exhibits B-G, I-J, and L, and all versions of Exhibits B–G, I–J, and L published by Google.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 16:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue

burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that comprise versions of exhibits B-G, I-J, and L, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources.

REQUEST NO. 17:

All Documents concerning all agreements with Special Partners related to delivering Ads for display on Properties owned and/or operated by Special Partners.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 17:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that

Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to agreements with Special Partners related to delivering Ads for display on Properties owned and/or operated by Special Partners, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 18:

All Documents concerning Google's Communications with Special Partners related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 18:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks

"all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to communications with Special Partners related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 19:

All Documents concerning all agreements with Mobile Partners related to delivering Ads for display on Properties owned and/or operated by Mobile Partners.

26

27

28

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to agreements with Mobile Partners related to delivering Ads for display on Properties owned and/or operated by Mobile Partners, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be

10

11

21 22

19

20

23

24

25

26 27

28

generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 20:

All Documents concerning Google's Communications with Mobile Partners related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 20:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to communications with Mobile Partners related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources.

Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 21:

All Documents concerning Google's Communications with Partners (other than Mobile Partners and Special Partners) related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 21:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the

right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to communications with Partners (other than Mobile Partners and Special Partners) related to Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 22:

All Documents identifying all Smart Pricing Data associated with every Property in the Display Network.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 22:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and

(c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, sufficient to identify transactions associated with properties on the Google Display Network that have been subject to Smart Pricing, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties'. Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 23:

All Documents identifying all clicks where Google did not apply a Smart Pricing Discount for any reason including, without limitation, Documents identifying: all Smart Pricing Data for each click; the AdWords Advertiser who paid for each click; the AdWords Advertiser's bid amount for the click; the price the AdWords Advertiser paid for the click; the complete uniform resource locator (URL) of the Property from which the click originated; the Partner

27

28

owning and/or operating that Property; whether that Property was a Search Network or a Display Network Property; and whether the click resulted in a conversion.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 23:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, sufficient to identify transactions associated with properties on the Google Display Network that have not been subject to Smart Pricing, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties', Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 24:

All Documents identifying Properties, which have or have had the value "False" (or any other indicating Smart Pricing Discount shall not apply) CanApplyClickCostMultiplier including, without limitation, Documents identifying: all Smart Pricing Data for any click occurring while such variable equaled "False" (or any other value indicating a Smart Pricing Discount shall not apply); whether that Property has ever had the value "True" (or any other value indicating a Smart Pricing Discount shall apply) for the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier variable; the period of time, if any, for which the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier variable equaled True or False, respectively; the complete uniform resource locator (URL) of the Property from which the click originated; the Partner owning and/or operating that Property; and whether that Property was a Search Network or Display Network Property.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 24:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all

documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, sufficient to identify properties, which have or have had the value "False" (or any other value indicating a Smart Pricing Discount shall not apply) for the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 25:

All Documents identifying all AdWords Advertisers who paid for a click on the Display Network where Google did not apply a Smart Pricing Discount for any reason including, without limitation, Documents identifying: the number of clicks each such advertiser paid for where Google did not apply a Smart Pricing Discount; the amount spent by each advertiser on each such click; and the Smart Pricing Discount, Conversion Score, and ClickCostMultiplier that would have applied at the time of each click.

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, sufficient to identify advertisers who paid for a click on the Display Network where Google did not apply a Smart Pricing Discount, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 26:

All Documents concerning the fees, revenues and/or other amounts charged and earned, if different, by Google for clicks originating from the Display Network for which no Smart Pricing Discount was applied.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 26:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

27

nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, sufficient to identify fees, revenues and/or other amounts charged and earned, if different, by Google for clicks originating from the Display Network for which no Smart Pricing Discount was applied, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce

REQUEST NO. 27:

All Documents concerning the fees, revenues and/or other amounts charged and earned, if different, by Google for clicks originating from the Display Network for which the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier variable equaled "False".

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 27:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information

8

10 11 12

15

16

13

14

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

REQUEST NO. 28:

Litigation.

All Documents concerning the fees, revenues and/or other amounts charged and earned by Google for clicks originating from Properties on the Display Network owned and/or operated by Mobile Partners.

protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the

right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to

contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the

extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by

any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for

inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or

nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a

reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, sufficient

to identify fees, revenues and/or other amounts charged and earned, if different, by Google for

clicks originating from the Display Network for which the CanApplyClickCostMultiplier

variable equaled "False," to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible

sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database,

Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from

this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the

parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce

subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 28:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

"all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, sufficient to identify fees, revenues and/or other amounts charged and earned by Google for clicks originating from Properties on the Display Network owned and/or operated by Mobile Partners, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

5 6 7

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a

reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, sufficient

All Documents concerning the fees, revenues and/or other amounts charged and earned by Google for clicks originating from Properties on the Display Network owned and/or operated by Special Partners.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 29:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent i! seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

to identify the fees, revenues and/or other amounts charged and earned by Google for clicks originating from Properties on the Display Network owned and/or operated by Special Partners, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 30:

All Documents and/or correspondence between Google and AdWords Advertisers concerning Smart Pricing, including, without limitation, documents concerning refunds demanded by AdWords Advertisers related to Smart Pricing and/or complaints related to Smart Pricing.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 30:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google, is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to

contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information concerning Smart Pricing, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 31:

All Documents produced by Google in connection with the *In re Google AdWords Litigation*, No. 5:08-CV-3369-EJD, in the Northern District of California concerning Smart Pricing, Smart Pricing Discounts, and Smart Pricing Data.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 31:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected

and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks(a) to address the vagueness and ambiguity created by its language requesting "all documents"; (b) to limit the undue burden imposed by this Request as written; and (c) to limit this request so that it seeks only information that is relevant to a party's claim or defense in this Action.

REQUEST NO. 32:

All Documents relied upon and/or cited by Dr. Randolph Bucklin in preparation of GOOG00000101 – 165 and GOOG00000166 – 260, including, without limitation, the deposition transcripts of Courtney Bowman and Hal Varian referenced by Dr. Bucklin.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 32:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks(a) to address the vagueness and ambiguity created by its language requesting "all documents"; (b) to limit the undue burden imposed by this Request as written; and (c) to limit this request so that it seeks only information that is relevant to a party's claim or defense in this Action..

REQUEST NO. 33:

Un-redacted copies of all Documents (including any exhibits or attachments) filed in the In re Google AdWords Litigation, No. 5:08-CV-3369-EJD, in the Northern District of California.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 33:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome,

ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google is willing to meet and confer with Plaintiff to narrow the scope of information that this Request seeks(a) to address the vagueness and ambiguity created by its language requesting "all documents"; (b) to limit the undue burden imposed by this Request as written; and (c) to limit this request so that it seeks only information that is relevant to a party's claim or defense in this Action.

REQUEST NO. 34:

All Documents concerning the "go/ip-history" database referred to in GOOG00004720, including, without limitation, such database.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 34:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks

"all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, sufficient to identify the IP addresses associated with particular, identified, relevant geographic locations in reasonably accessible geolocation databases. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 35:

All Documents concerning the "Location Extraction Server (LES)" database referred to in GOOG00004722, including, without limitation, such database.

25

26

27

28

1

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will meet and confer with Plaintiff regarding whether, at an appropriate stage in this Action, it may make available for inspection, subject to the terms of the Protective Order in this action as they apply to source code, source code in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information that relate to the "Location Extraction Server (LES)" referred to in GOOG00004722. Google will produce nonprivileged documents that do not constitute source code in its possession, custody, or control

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to the Location Extraction Server (LES) referred to in GOOG00004722. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 36:

All Documents concerning all clicks identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 120 of the Complaint in possession of the "IPGeo team" as that term is used in GOOG00004720.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 36:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by

any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, comprising the information reasonably accessible to Google related to clicks identified by Plaintiff in paragraph 120 of the Complaint. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

REQUEST NO. 37:

All Documents identifying all clicks originating from a physical location outside the location selected by the AdWords Advertiser on the Location Targeting Settings Screen at the time of the click and all Location Targeting Data for each such click.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST NO. 37:

Google incorporates by reference its general statements made above and makes the following specific objections to this Request. Google objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine, protected as confidential settlement communications, or otherwise protected from disclosure by any other privileges, laws, or rules. Google further objects to the extent that this Request seeks "all documents" on the grounds that it therefore (a) is overly broad, unduly burdensome, ambiguous, and vague, (b) seeks information neither relevant to any claim or defense of any party in this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and (c) fails to describe with reasonable particularity each item or category of items to be inspected and/or produced as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 34(b)(1)(A). Google interprets the phrase "all documents" or phrases of similar import to mean those documents and that information that

Google is able to locate using reasonable diligence and judgment and without incurring undue burden and expense. Google further objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected from discovery by any right to privacy or any other applicable privilege, including the right to privacy of third parties, or by Google's obligations under applicable law or pursuant to contract to protect such confidential information. Google further to objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information constituting or containing information protected from disclosure by any statute, rule, or regulation. Google will produce such material or make it available for inspection in response only to the extent it can do so consistent with its legal obligations and/or subject to the Protective Order entered in this action.

Subject to the foregoing objections, and without waiving them, Google will produce nonprivileged documents in its possession, custody, or control that may be identified after a reasonable search and diligent inquiry in reasonably accessible sources of information, that relate to the identification of clicks that users understood to originate outside the location selected by the AdWords Advertiser on the Location Targeting Settings Screen at the time of the click and all Location Targeting Data for each such click, to the extent that such documents exist in reasonably accessible sources. Where information within the scope of this agreement to produce resides in a database, Google will confer with Plaintiff regarding the scope and nature of reports to be generated from this database and will produce any reports so generated in the manner contemplated in the parties' Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard Litigation.

Dated: June 10, 2013

MAYER BROWN LLP BY: /s/ Eric B. Evans

Eric B. Evans

Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MAYER BROWN LLP Edward D. Johnson (SBN 189475) wjohnson@mayerbrown.com Donald M. Falk (SBN 150256) dfalk@mayerbrown.com Eric B. Evans (SBN 232476) eevans@mayerbrown.com Jonathan A. Helfgott (SBN 278969) jhelfgott@mayerbrown.com Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 Telephone: (650) 331-2000 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060 Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc. UNITED STATES I	CT OF CALIFORNIA
12	RICKS WOODS, Individually and On Behalf	Case No. 11-cv-1263-EJD
13	of All Others Similarly Situated,	PROOF OF SERVICE
14	Plaintiff,	
15	V.	
16		
17	GOOGLE INC.,	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		PROOF OF SERVICE
	706674814.1	CASE NO. 11-CV-1263-EJD

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Sonya Holloway, declare that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this
action. I am employed in Santa Clara County, California. My business address is Mayer Brown
LLP, Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300, Palo Alto, California 94306-2112. On June 10, 2013, I
served the foregoing documents described as:

GOOGLE INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

PROOF OF SERVICE

×	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Palo Alto, California addressed as set forth below.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to an agent for Overnight delivery.
	by causing to be transmitted by email the document(s) listed above to the addressee(s) at the email address(es) listed below.
	by telefaxing a copy thereof to the following individual(s) at the following facsimile number(s):

Ramzi Abadou, Esq.

Stacey M. Kaplan, Esq.

Erik D. Peterson, Esq.

KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP

One Sansome Street, Suite 1850

San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415.400.3000

Facsimile: 415.400.3001

E-mail: rabadou@ktmc.com

Joseph H. Meltzer, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice)

Sean M. Handler, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice)

Peter H. LeVan, Jr., Esq. (Pro Hac Vice)

Naumon A. Amjed, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice)

Ryan T. Degnan, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice)

Robin Winchester, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice)

KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP

280 King of Prussia Road

Radnor, PA 19087

Telephone: 610.667.7706 Facsimile: 610.667.7056 Email: rdegnan@ktmc.com

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PROOF OF SERVICE

Jeffrey J. Angelovich, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice) 1 Brad E. Seidel, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice) Chad Ethan Ihrig, Esq. 2 NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP 3600 N. Capital of Texas Highway 3 Building B, Suite 350 4 Austin, TX 78746 Telephone: 512.328.5333 5 Facsimile: 512.328.5335 Email: bseidel@npraustin.com 6 7 Andrew Gordon Pate, Esq. NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP 8 205 Linda Drive Daingerfield, TX 75638 9 Telephone: 903.645.7333 Facsimile:: 903-645-4415 10 Email: drewpate@nixlawfirm.com 11 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 12 foregoing is true and correct. I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar 13 of this court at whose direction the service was made. 14 Dated this 10th day of June, 2013 at Palo Alto, California. 15 16 Sonya Holloway 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 PROOF OF SERVICE